

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	JOANNE RYAN
-----------	-------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1; 2; 5; 78; 81; 107; 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	PAGE 5 PARAGRAPH 3 2.6 + 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2.

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

mm1/mm2

Blackmore is a remote village with poor road connections and is distant from towns / railway stations, bus service is not great and not suitable for commuting. Car travel is essential to get anywhere if you reside in Blackmore, so the more houses means more cars / pollution / congestion. Which is contrary to BBC Strategic objectives journeys.

Also losing 2 large fields to houses means loss of wildlife habitat, which contain badgers, foxes, voles, hedgehogs.

mm5

Blackmore has 1 shop, 1 tea shop + a part time ladies hairdresser. This cannot be aligned to large villages such as Dodinghurst / Kelvedon Hatch. Mountnessing they have a parade of shops, far more dwellings, better road links + closer to towns + stations. The village should be classified as a class 4 village, not class 3, as per Dodinghurst.

mm78

Flooding has always been an issue with Blackmore + removing 2 large fields will make the situation worse. The suggestion of digging 2 ponds on site as a solution is unworkable as at times of heavy rain the water table is at ground surface level. With extreme weather predicted this situation will only worsen.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Continued...

Continued.

Joanne Ryan

Question 4:

mm81

I do not believe the exceptional Circumstance test was carried out with any conviction. If it had been the brown field sites in Red rose lane Blackmore and at Storden. Massey would have been identified and included in LDP.

mm107/108

Houses were reduced from 70 to 50 by BBC due to high level of concerns by majority of Blackmore residents. For the inspector to just increase back to 70 ignores the point of asking for public response to the LDP.

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary