

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted. Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	MARGARET ROAST
-----------	----------------

Question 1: Which Main Modification and/or supporting document does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5 78, 81, 107 + 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	para 5 plus paragraphs 2.6; 2.8.1.
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	A mex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document:

Legally Compliant?	YES ☐	NO ☐
Sound?	YES ☐	NO ☒

Question 3: If you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared	☒
Not justified	☒
Not effective	☒
Not consistent with national planning policy	☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1 + MM2

The inclusion of the two GREENFIELD sites R25 + R26 in the LDP fails to meet the BSC own strategic objectives and vision for the ~~the~~ borough.

The development is not designed to meet local needs. The needs and concerns of the local community have been ignored, including the threat of increase in flooding and pollution both, surge and increased traffic.

There are not exceptional reasons for removing the two sites from Greenfield status. The which is good quality green land and currently helps to protect the village from some of the effects of water run-off.

Proposed charge MM107 + MM108
 Go across through Orchard Place is totally ~~not~~ unacceptable. A quick but busy cut-de-sec with its own and

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

of parking and access. would be made unsage by this change

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

OVER DEVELOPMENT OF BLACKMORE A.
SUSTAINABLE VILLAGE USING GREENBELT

If BRC need to release greenbelt land then it should be in a more suitable location. Better transport links, safer road network, access to schools & shops.

The stability and sustainability of Blackmore ~~as a~~ ^{community} village will be jeopardised by a development of this size.

There is no justification to release good quality farmland for an inappropriate development.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

MM1
MM2