

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	ANN RIGBY
-----------	-----------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 78, 81
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

The main modification is unsound and not compliant.

Increasing the housing stock means increasing the number of car journeys, pollution and congestion. How anyone can consider a 20% increase in the village size is sound, without considerable increase in the infrastructure of the village, is beyond comprehension. The roads, school capacity and Doctors provision would all need increasing. Blackmore is a class 4 village not a class 3.

MM 7f

Flooding is a major issue in our village, which history will support. With increasing extreme weather predicted, this risk will only increase. The plans to provide 2 ponds to alleviate the risk in these two fields will not work as the water table is too high and overspill will give rise to more village flooding.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM78

The Environment agency needs to be involved and oversee the solution to the flooding issues if this development proceeds, not just left to the developers to just dig a hole and hope for the best.

MM81

No evidence has been provided that an exceptional circumstances test was carried out to allow the release of this Green Belt land for speculative developments

BBC needs to provide the evidence they considered and that all the government guidelines were met conclusively and legally, taking into account the objections raised by Blackmar residents.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary