

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

JAMES HARRIS

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5
78, 81, 107, 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

2.6, 2.8.1
PAGE 5 CONCLUSIONS

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

THE DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED FOR BLACKMORE DOES NOT MEET ANY OF BBC STRATEGIC POINTS, NOR MANY OF THE GOVERNMENTS.

DOES NOT SATISFY FOLLOWING

1) WILL NOT CREATE ANY LOCAL JOBS

MM1/2 2) THERE WILL BE NO AFFORDABLE HOUSING

3) NO LOCAL BENEFITS TO BLACKMORE ITSELF

4) THE 20 HOUSES WILL GENERATE 140 CARS PLUS, EVERYONE HAS TO COMMUTE, SHOP, HOSPITALS ETC BY CAR BUS SERVICE TOO INFREQUENT TO ASSIST.

5) LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE CANNOT cope NOW, POOR & NARROW LANES, NO PAVEMENTS ON MANY LANES, NO LIGHTING, DOCTORS UNABLE TO MEET CURRENT DEMANDS LOCAL SCHOOL ALREADY FULL.

MM78 6) ENVIRONMENT ISSUE ON FLOODING COMPLETELY IGNORED BY BBC & INSPECTORATE

BLACKMORE HAS ONLY 1 SHOP & PART TIME HAIRDRESSORS UNLIKE DODDINGHURST WHICH HAS PARADE OF SHOPS SO SHOULD BE CLASSED AS CLASS 4 VILLAGE NOT 3.

THE ONLY REASON BUTCHMORE WAS CHOSEN IS BECAUSE DEVELOPERS ARE PREPARED TO BUILD ON 2 GREEN BELT FIELDS, AS BLACKMORE IS DESIRABLE & THEY WILL MAXIMIZE PROFITS.

BUT BBC SHOULD BE LOOKING AT SUITABILITY NOT BECAUSE

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1/2
THE DEVELOPERS HAVE MADE IT EASY.

BBC HAS NOT EXPLORED USING BROWNFIELD SITES THAT ARE AVAILABLE, IE STANSON MASSEY (WHO ARE LOOKING FOR DEVELOPMENT) BUT MOREY ACCEPTED DEVELOPER LED PROPOSAL.

MM 107/108
ALSO CHRIS MOSSACK VISITED BLACKMORE ADVISED THAT OVER 50% OF ENTIRE OBJECTIONS TO DEVELOPMENT IN LDP WERE FROM BLACKMORE. HE ADVISED NO POINT ASKING FOR RESIDENTS VIEWS IF YOU ARE GOING TO IGNORE. SO HE REDUCED NO OF HOUSES FROM 70 TO 50.

THE INSPECTOR WHO HAS NO LOCAL KNOWLEDGE INCREASED ^{BACK} TO 70 WHICH DEFEATS ~~THE~~ WHOLE POINT OF CONSULTATIONS.

BLACKMORE HAS 354 DWELLINGS, BBC IS PROPOSING ADDITIONAL TO PLUS 12 ALREADY BUILT IN 2021, IN RED ROSE LANE TOTAL 82, WHICH IS A 23% INCREASE NO VILLAGE CAN TAKE SUCH AN INCREASE WITHOUT THE SERIOUS DETRIMENTAL EFFECTS ON ITS INFRASTRUCTURE.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary