Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name		
	Susan Hood	

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1,2,5,78
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	2-6, 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document:			
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO 🔲	
Sound?	YES	NO X	

Question 3: If you consider the Main Modification and/o unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) that apply):	
Not positively prepared	X
Not justified	X
Not effective	X
Not consistent with national planning policy	X

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore village does not fit the guidelines of either BBC of the government it is a distant village with poor road connections, distant from all local towns/railway stations, the bus service is unsuitable for commuting. Car travel is essential to get anywhere, so more houses more cars/pollution/congestion. Which is contrary to both the BBC & the government aims for reducing unnecessary journeys.

MM5

The village should be classified as a class 4 village, not class 3 such as Doddinghurst, class 3 villages are meant to have a parade of shops, be closer to towns with good transport links, Blackmore has none of these, & has no proper infrastructure either.

MM78

Flooding appears to have been ignored completely but the problem is still there, & if not addressed future council staff will have to clear up the mess; when the village inevitably floods in the future. This needs to be addressed at this stage.

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible. MM1/MM2 As Blackmore does meet strategic aims it should be removed from LDP MM5 Blackmore should as per government guidelines be restored to a class 4 village **MM78** This looks to reviewed properly and the Environment Agency needs to be involved before this proceeds any further