

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

PATRICIA MOUNTSTEVENS.

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

1. MM1; MM 2; ANNEX 2. + MM 5.

- There is concern the the Strategic Objectives have not been properly considered. The allocations in Blackmore (R25 + R26) do not meet the requirements
 - Blackmore is the smallest village of the ones considered for the development and does not have the facilities to cope with additional housing.
 - There are already 254 dwellings in the village and with the existing new builds + those on the plan will constitute an increase of 238 increase in dwellings. This is against Bruntwood & Govt. guidelines
 - The furthest village away from the main transport links and will considerably increase the traffic flow and compromise the already minimal facilities in the village.
 - The 2 fields in the plan are in Green Belt & building on there is against policy.
- These plans have not been prepared in a purposeful way - and have been adapted to put to justify of R25 and R26

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM 78. - flood Risk

- The biggest concern is the flooding in Red Rose Lane and the lack of consideration to the frequency + extent of this.
- What consideration has been given to the fact that building more houses in this area will mean more water draining into the road. Vehicles need rescuing every time the lane is flooded.
- Living at the bottom of this lane will cause great concern. Especially when access becomes impossible.
- The Sustainable Appraisal (2.8.1) does not does nothing to address the Reality of the problem in Blackmore - which has been well documented.
- The flooding issues in Blackmore are serious.
- The future of Climate change should be considered to properly consider the Environment.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary