

## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	CAROL POULTON + BILL RATCLIFFE
-----------	--------------------------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	MM 78 MM 81
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	→ page 161 → page 171
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM 78

EXISTING FLOODING WITHIN AND SURROUNDING AREAS OF BLACKMORE IS A SERIOUS ISSUE. ALL OCCASIONS ARE FULLY DOCUMENTED AND PHOTOGRAPHED. BUILDING ON TWO FIELDS ON THE EDGE OF THE VILLAGE WILL ~~EX~~ INCREASE THE RISK OF MORE SERIOUS FLOODING AND OCCASIONS.

MM 81 THE FIELDS ARE GOOD FERTILE LAND AND THEIR REMOVAL AND INCLUSION INTO THE LDP IS NOT SOUND AND CONTRA TO GUIDELINES OF THE NPPF AND ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCY "BUILDING ON SITES WHICH WILL CONTRIBUTE TO LOCAL FLOODING" OR AT RISK OF FLOODING. OTHER THAN SO CALLED MOVING OF GREEN BELT BOUNDARY TO MAKE THE AREA MORE DEFENDABLE TO DEVELOPMENT WHICH ITSELF IS ~~CHALLENGE~~ QUESTIONABLE THERE ARE NO CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH ~~SUSTIFY~~ THESE SITES TO BE REMOVED FROM GREEN BELT

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary



Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM8 FULL REVIEW OF FLOOD RISK AND DRAINAGE ISSUES (INCLUDING POLLUTION FROM RAW SEWAGE). WITHIN AND SURROUNDING AREAS OF BLACKWIRE BEFORE ANY FURTHER DEVELOPMENT IS CONSIDERED

MM81 REMOVAL OF R25 + R26 SITES FROM LDP AS THERE IS NO JUSTIFICATION TO INCLUDE THEM AND RELEASE OF GREEN BELT LAND.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary