

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

JANIS HOUSE

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 7, 8;
81, 107, 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

PAGE 5 + paragraphs
2.6 + 2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM
1 +
MM2 Current roads are not coping with level of traffic now - increasing danger to all users (potholes → cars - proximity to walkers/cyclists/horse riders). Including danger to life. Increasing the numbers of cars will be very dangerous to all users.

MM
2 Field opposite Wells Farm is "boggy" in parts at all times of year - there are already ponds/a deep pond. Loss of this acreage to impervious materials will significantly increase the flooding risk in an already troubled zone.

MM
MM2 Blackmore does not have the infrastructure to manage an increase in residents or the traffic they will undoubtedly bring.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM 81

PROPOSED DEVELOPEMENT ON
THE TWO SITES R25 & R26 WILL
EXPERBATE THE EXISTING AND REAL
FLOODING PROBLEM THAT BLACKMORE
IS EXPERIENCING. RELEASE OF
SUCH LAND IS CONTRA TO THE
NPPF GUIDELINES AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL
AGENCY. THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES
JUSTIFYING THE RELEASE OF THESE
TWO SITES HAS NOT BEEN IDENTIFIED
AN IMMEDIATE ENQUIRY SHOULD
BE LAUNCHED TO INVESTIGATE AND
IDENTIFY THESE.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary