

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

STEVEN JACOBS

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78, 81
107, 108.

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

para 5 +
Paragraphs
2.6 + 2.8.1.

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2.

This small community has very bad transport links which is going to mean more cars, leading to more pollution - the possible proposal of an entrance in Orchard Peice is a decision that should be made by people living there. Like myself, we have lots of young children living here that enjoy the safety of playing out in safety this would be severely compromised by this and is not acceptable at all -

MM5

We are not a classified 4 village we have very little amenities we are a 3, surrounding areas would be more suited.

MM78

Flooding has always been a problem and proposals will only make things worse.

MM81

Do not believe that exceptional circumstances test were carried out with conviction. If it had then brown fields such as Redrose lane Blackmore & Standon Massey would have been identified and included in LUP

MM10/108

Houses have been reduced from ~~50~~ 70 to 50 due to concerns of Blackmore residents. For the inspector just to change the goal posts back to 70 totally ignores the public opinion in response to the LUP.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary