

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

LORRAINE MURREL

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78
81 107 + 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

Page 5
paras 2.6.7
2.9.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM 78

Flooding within the village and is real. happens quite regularly and is of real concern. Removing to large fields which provide a soak away for when the water table is high will exacerbate the problem and put listed building - conservation areas in the village at serious risk.

MM 81

No exceptional circumstances to support the removal and development of the two Greenfield sites R25 - R26. It is purely a development opportunity lead by property developers and therefore unsound. as per Government guidelines for the justification of removing land from Green Belt. MM1/MM2. Increase traffic generated by the development and the suggestion of access via Orchard Rise is unsafe and unsound.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

mm 78 my concerns are R25 + R26 should be total removed from LDP

Flooding: You should come and see the state of the roads etc. when we have a downpour.

mm 81

Greenbelt: This is greenbelt and should not be built on.

Sewage: The sewage system will not cope with any more houses.

mm 1 / mm 2

Through Road: we do not want a through road in Orchard Piece, this is a quiet road and we want it to stay that way for our kids to be safe playing outside.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary