

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

Colin MIERIS

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	P. 5.2 PARAGRAPHS 2.6 + 2.8-1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore is a small remote village, with poor lane and road connections; Railway Stations at Sheffield, Ingatestone only accessible by very narrow lanes from horse and cart days : with no Bus services to those Main line stations. The bus service to Brentwood Station runs twice in the mornings at 0640 and 0750. And in the twice in the evenings to Blackmore at 1735 and 1835 thus not suitable for travel to and from work in London.

So cars are essential for all aspects of village life; more houses means more cars/pollution and congestion which is now very bad in the mornings and evenings, especially in the Village centre.

This housing policy is contrary to Brentwood Borough Council's strategic objectives and the Government's aims for reducing unnecessary journeys. Bus to Doctors!

Loosing the Two large fields to houses means lists of wildlife habitat which includes some birds on the Red species list.

MM5

Blackmore has One Shop, One tea shop, and a part time hairdresser, thus no work prospects for additional residents. This is contrary to the villages of Doddinghurst/Kelvedon Hatch and Mountnessing which have parade of shops, far more dwellings, better road links and closer to Brentwood Station.

Blackmore village has been put into the wrong classification it should be class 4; not class 3 as is Doddinghurst.

MM81

We are sure that the exceptional circumstances test was erroneous. As the Brown Field sites in Red Rose Lane Blackmore and at Stondon Massey would have been identified and included in the LDP.

MM107/108

Due to the concerns of the majority of Blackmore residents housing was reduced from 70 to 50 by BBC.

For the Inspector to increase the volume back to 70 ignores the p[point of asking for a public response to the LDP.

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Including R25 - R26 in the LDP
is unsound as there are no
exceptional circumstances to support
their development & removal release
from Green Belt

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary