

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

STEPHEN MURRELL

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 78 81, 107 ~ 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	Page 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1 / MM2

The inclusion of Greenbelt sites R25 & R26 into LPD was and is not justified as there are ~~not~~ no exceptional circumstance to release these sites identified by the.

MM8 B.B.C. However there are many reasons why they are totally unsuitable. including the remoteness of the sites access only by narrow rural roads, over congestion within the village by additional traffic.. No employment opportunities. No limited public transport. No employment opportunities. Overstretched small school, Doctors surgery & small local shop. Channelling traffic through Orchard place is totally unacceptable as it is already congested with parked vehicles. A large development as proposed (relative to the size of Blackmore) with over burden the infrastructure, sewage system already inadequate and increase the risk of more flooding within the village by building on a flood plain currently providing some protection.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

I am concerned with

① Sewage MM1/MM2

② Flooding MM 78

③ Greenbelt MM5

④ Through road in Orchard Piece. MM1/MM2.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary