

## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

ALAN BOWLAND

Question 1: Which **Main Modification** and/or **supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 78 81, 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	PAGE 5 & PARAGRAPHS 2.6 + 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2 BLACKMORE IS A SMALL VILLAGE WITH POOR ACCESS ROADS. THE BUS SERVICE IS POOR AND MAY NOT BE SUSTAINABLE IN THE LONG TERM. THE VILLAGE IS NOT SUITABLE FOR COMMUNICATION OTHER THAN VIA PRIVATE CAR. CAR TRAVEL IS ESSENTIAL TO BLACKMORE RESIDENTS. THE VILLAGE IS ALREADY CONGESTED WITH CARS AND ANY INCREASE IN HOUSING WILL EXACERBATE THE SITUATION, AND IS CONTRARY TO BBL STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES & THE GOVERNMENT AIMS FOR REDUCING UNNECESSARY JOURNALS. THE LOSS OF TWO LARGE FIELDS ALSO MEANS A LOSS OF WILDLIFE HABITAT.

MM15  
THE VILLAGE FACILITIES ARE LIMITED. THE VILLAGE IS SMALL AND SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AS A CLASS 4 VILLAGE, NOT CLASS 3 AS PER THE MUCH LARGER VILLAGE OF DODDINGHURST WHICH HAS A PARADE OF SHOPS.

MM17B  
FLOODING IS AN ONGOING ISSUE IN BLACKMORE, AND THE LOSS OF TWO LARGE FIELDS ON THE EDGE OF THE VILLAGE WILL MAKE THE SITUATION WORSE. PARTICULARLY THE LOWER END OF ORCHARD PIECE WHERE THE RUN OFF CAN OVERWHELM THE DRAINS. IN TIMES OF HEAVY RAIN, CLIMATE CHANGE WILL NO DOUBT MAKE THE SITUATION WORSE.

MM181  
IT SEEMS UNLIKELY THAT THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES TEST WAS CARRIED OUT WITH TRUE CONVICTION. IF IT HAD BEEN THE BROWN FIELD SITES IN REDROSE LANE, BLACKMORE & AT STONDON MASEY WOULD HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AND INCLUDED IN L.D.P.

MM107/108  
HOUSES WERE REDUCED FROM 70 TO 50 DUE TO THE HIGH LEVEL OF CONCERN EXPRESSED BY THE MAJORITY OF BLACKMORE RESIDENTS. PUBLIC RESPONSE TO THE L.D.P HAS CLEARLY BEEN IGNORED BY THE INSPECTOR. HIGH DENSITY HOUSING LEADS TO PARKING ISSUES.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS TO ROAD  
AND SEWAGE SHOULD BE ADDRESSED  
PRIOR TO ANY HOUSE BUILDING TAKING  
PLACE, PLUS A PLAN FOR DEALING WITH  
POTENTIAL FLOODING. THAT IS FAR MORE  
SUBSTANTIAL THAN DIGGING PONDS AS A  
SOLUTION.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary