

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	CRAIG BISHOP
-----------	--------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	<div>1 2 5 28 81 107 108</div>
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	<div>Pg 5</div>
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	<div></div>
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	<div>Annex 2</div>

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1 and MM2

Unsound decision to include sites R25 + R26 into LDP.

The sites are not fit for purpose. and contradict Government Guidelines and BBC vision and strategic objectives ~~to~~ not to increase unnecessary car journeys. The fact that Blackmore has a limited bus service means that an extra 70 houses will generate at least over a hundred car movements in and around the village. causing more congestion and pollution. The village offers limited facilities (one small shop) and no real local employment so does not require a development of this size

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

As the location of R25 and R26 are so rural and there are ~~not~~ plans to improve the road network, including pavements for pedestrians, cycle lanes etc. or provide a reliable, improved bus service, Alternative more suitable sites should be identified, which provide better road/rail access/bus service, job opportunities, shops and schools and where the need for additional housing will improve sustainability. to that area. Blackmore is already a ~~sub~~ sustainable village and does not need the investment of additional housing

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary