

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	KENNETH THOMAS BAILEY
-----------	-----------------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5 78, 81, 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	PAGE 5 PARA 2.6 x 2.81
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1 and MM2

The release of Green Belt sites R25 & R26 will have a significant impact on the long term stability of the Village. Existing services and infrastructure barely cope with existing requirements. Blackmore as it stands is a sustainable village with a good balanced community. This will be distributed ~~by~~ by any significant large development as proposed under the LDP.

The justification of BBC inclusion of R25 and R26 fail to meet their own strategic objectives.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1/MM2

I cannot see what exceptional circumstances exist for this Council to propose significant encroachment onto the long established Green Belt with development of housing on sites R25 & R26.

Certainly such developments will adversely effect this remote rural village in respect to surface water drainage, flooding, an overloaded sewage system, traffic and the existing wild life such as foxes and deer etc.

The village does not need ^{building} these fields of pasture and I am surprised this Council is making such proposals which seems to be totally against all previous planning strategy and for that matter common sense.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary