

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

DONNA BRADLEY

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1; 2; 5; 78;
81; 107; 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

PAGE 5 &
Paragraphs
2.6 + 2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

These Modifications do not address the issues regarding this development having a negative impact on the stability of an existing sustainable village, therefore they are not sound. The additional traffic movements and demands on already overstretched services caused by these proposed developments are contrary to the strategic objectives of the BSC and Government. Redirecting traffic through Orchard Piece is a sticking plaster approach to access problems and will create chaos.

MM7&8 Flooding is a real threat (we already experience periodic flooding - well documented) Building on a natural flood plain which currently provides some protection to the village is both ludicrous and contrary to Government guidelines in releasing Green field sites for development. Proposals to add a few ponds is an inadequate approach to this problem.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

mm1
+
mm2

We have lived in the village of Blackmore for over 15 years now and have seen some considerable changes in the past few years. Notably, the parking issues along Rignith Hall Road, double parked all along, outside the shop, pub, tea rooms. You would struggle to get an ambulance down these roads at the best of times let alone with more cars and traffic if this goes ahead. Flooding is also an issue, Red Rose Lane in particular always floods in heavy rain, this would be made worse if these particular fields were dug up and built on. The local school and doctors is totally over subscribed now so it would be virtually impossible to take on more families/children. I strongly object to this being used for more housing.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary