Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

| Full Name | ALAN | BUTLER, | |
|-----------|----------|---------|--|
| | <u> </u> | | |

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

| Schedule of Potential Main Modifications | MM no. | 5,78,81,107 |
|--|----------------|-------------|
| Sustainability Appraisal | para(s) | |
| Habitat Regulations Assessment | para(s) | |
| Policies Map or other supporting documents | Please specify | |
| | | |

| Question 2: Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document: | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Legally Compliant? | YES | NO ON | | |
| Sound? | YES | NO ON | | |
| Part of the second of the seco | on the production of the Miles | West and the second | | |

| Question 3: If you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply): |
|--|
| Not positively prepared |
| Not justified |
| Not effective |
| Not consistent with national planning policy |

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MMS The proposals for Blackmore are totally unsound its the remotest village in the borough and does not compare in size or population or facilities to othe local villages of Doddinghurst, kilvedon hatch, stondon makey. There are very limited facilities, public transport is extremely limited. This very love expansion of the villiage will overwhelm all facil facilities and roads.

MNB Considering that parts of Blackmore are within flood risk 3 zone it seems reducules to increase this risk for current households by building more homes. nothing fras been done to never the fluvial with from the niver wid which is significant that's without the risk of surface water flooding, that is a major problem in the area. This alone should adomatically exclude these areas from the LDP.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM81

Blackmore does not line up with being on exceptional Circumstance rainly the problems with connectivity. There are no main roads, all roads in and around the village are country lanes and there is only only accessable by car. No stratigic approach has been applied this area is totally unsuitable for house expansion without major infrastructure improvements, there must be lietter suited greenbelt land with infrastructure and transfort that can cope with this type of expansion.

MMIOT The neal flood misk should be assessed before this LDP is agreed it seems this issue has been "Inushed under the table" with the annen ofments being made to remove discussions on this usue when flooding is a major concern to local residents.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary