

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

MRS ELLA BRADLEY

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78
81, 107, 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

PAGE 5
2nd paragraphs
2.6 + 2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

n/a

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- * • Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

*

MM81

The release of two Green Belt land sites ie R25 + R26 is not justified for housing development.

Impact of drainage, flooding + sewage by the development of these sites

MM

78

The village has suffered flooding in the past and will only be worse if this development goes ahead. Blackmore is known as a "Critical Drainage

Area"

MM

MM2

Blackmore is a small village with one small school, 1 shop, a tea stop and should be classified as a class 4 village not class 3 as per Dodinghurst.

The village is already affected by the new development at Norton Heath which is on the Epping border with Blackmore. The roads cannot support an increase in traffic.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM 78
A full investigation is required of the existing flood risk + how further development will have an adverse effect on the village.
~~How~~ will the drainage from the development be fulfilled
MM 5 Blacknone should be classed as a class ~~A~~ village and not class ~~B~~ as Doddingworth which has many more amenities.
Green Belt land should not be used for development of houses. The effect on wild life will be considerable.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary