

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

John W Adams

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

page
14/19 379 107
81 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

78 171 502
503
17804

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

- -

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

1. Flood Risk - already high - Releasing green belt space will make worse
MM1/MM2 - New houses will decrease community - no shop is inevitable without parking space; which is also used by homes in Epping Forest Area.

The Village is a progressive community and the members all believe the same - the plans submitted will decrease community spirit and leave us without one of the best villages in the county and ~~the~~ Brentwood is lucky still to have it!

In the light of the COP conference - and the facts about flooding & increased risks - the only action that is legal and sound is to ban Green Belt building!

The village has already got 30 odd houses from Epping 9-10 houses in Rowan Lane, plus eight in village 5 garages in village (infill).

MM78 The council can't cope we were told 60 years ago - I don't know whether any work has been done I don't think so, plus flooding is likely to be the big problem - already our paths freeze whenever we have heavy rain!!

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary