

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

ANNE ADKINS

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

mm1/mm2
~~1, 2, 5 mm 7, 8~~
mm 8, 1

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

Page 5
para's 2.6
2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

mm1/mm2

Unconsidered development of this size will be detrimental to the long term stability of this unique Essex Village. Overstretched infrastructure, increased pollution and traffic movement (necessary due to remote location of village) is contra to BBC own objectives and vision and government aims of reducing unnecessary car use.

MM78 Blackmore sited on the edge of higher ground and close to the source of River Wid is already subject to regular flooding (well documented) ^{including} ~~removal~~ of two greenfield sites of good farmland for building will exacerbate the problem. The fields currently provide a buffer to protect the village during heavy rain fall.

MM81 The ~~proposed~~ inclusion of sites R25 & R26 into LDP was unconsidered and not justified as there are no exceptional reasons. ~~at~~ in addition of provision of residential development so does not meet Government guidelines of the release of Greenfield land.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

for development.

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM81

Release of some Greenfield sites in the borough to provide and meet housing requirements (when all suitable brownfield sites are fully utilized) is inevitable. However these sites should be considered as part of a well thought through plan and be nearer to good road network (not narrow rural roads) near good public transport, near amenities and employment opportunities and help with the sustainability of local communities. Not developer lead unsuitable sites which will destabilise and recognised established community and ~~contribute~~ ^{contribute} to major future flooding and overbanding of local amenities.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary