

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

Patricia Chaplin

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

5,78, 81

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

NO

Sound?

YES

NO

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

Not justified

Not effective

Not consistent with national planning policy

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM5

Blackmore does not meet government criteria for a class 3 village, should be class 4, BBC should follow guidelines. Doddinghurst is a grade 3 village which has been ruined by over development. If you want to experience village atmosphere you have to go to Blackmore, but BBC obviously want to ruin that village as well.

MM78

Blackmore has a history of flooding, removing 2 fields is surely against government advice and will obviously worsen situation

MM81

BBC did not carry our exceptional circumstances test, otherwise they would have identified available brownfield sites, 12 houses have already been built in Red Rose Lane Blackmore this year. With the additional 70 houses proposed. The 3 sites in Red Rose Lane will result in a 23% increase in dwellings, which is ridiculous

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM5

Blackmore should act as per government guidelines be restored to a class 4 village

MM78

This looks to reviewed properly and the Environment Agency needs to be involved before this proceeds any further, cannot understand why the flood problem is being ignored by BBC

MM81

This needs to reviewed, there are many more appropriate sites, also 12 houses have already been built in Red Rose Lane, which should not be ignored

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary