

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	DANIEL DEAN	
-----------	-------------	---

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 10, 7 a 108, 78 + 81
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	Page 5 paras 2.6, 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

NO

Sound?

YES

NO

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

Not justified

Not effective

Not consistent with national planning policy

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1 } TWO SITES R25 & R26 SHOULD
MM2 } NEVER HAVE BEEN INCLUDED
MM3 } IN THE LDP. THERE ARE
NO EXCEPTIONAL REASONS FOR INCLUSION.
BUT MANY FOR NOT.

- 1) LAND IS OF GOOD FARMING QUALITY, AS DEMONSTRATED BY ACTIVE FARMING IN THE AREA
- 2) THE FIELDS CURRENTLY PROVIDE SOME PROTECTION AS A SOAK A WAY FOR RAINWATER AND RUN OFF, HELPING TO PREVENT EXCEIBATION OF EXISTING FLOODING EPISODES WITHIN THE VILLAGE. BUILDING ON THIS LAND WILL PUT THE VILLAGE AT RISK OF INCREASE FLOODING.
- 3) EXISTING ~~REER~~ LIMITED AND ALREADY OVERLOADED INFRASTRUCTURE AND SEWAGE SYSTEM WILL BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED
- 4) RURAL ROAD NETWORK. SAFETY COMPROMISED BY INCREASE IN TRAFFIC AS THERE IS LIMITED WORK AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT OPPORTUNITIES

THEREFORE THE INCLUSION OF THESE SITES DOES NOT MEET THE VISION OF BBC

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary OR. THE NPPF

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1 & MM2

MM107 USE OF GREEN BELT LAND IS UNACCEPTABLE: AND NOT JUSTIFIED

MM107

- ORCHARD PIECE ALREADY HAS POOR DRAINAGE AND FLOODS REGULARLY.

MM108

- STRAIN ON ALL SERVICES

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary