

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

SAMANTHA DUNE

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 7, 8

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

PAGE 5
AND PARAGRAPHS
2.6 + 2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

NO

Sound?

YES

NO

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

Not justified

Not effective

Not consistent with national planning policy

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be **unsound or is not legally compliant.**

I consider the Main Modification & supporting document to be UNSOUND

mm1 / mm2 Car travel is essential to get anywhere if you reside in Blackmore. Blackmore is already overprovided with cars causing congestion at the small village shop. More houses means more cars, pollution/congestion, which is contrary to BBC strategic objectives & the government aims for reducing unnecessary journeys. The local roads are narrow and most only support one vehicle at a time. We have a poor bus service and our village is remote from towns and railway stations.

mm5 The village should be classed as a class 4 village not 3 as is the case with Doddington. Doddington/Kelvedon Hatch/ Mournemung have a parade of shops, far more dwellings, better road links and are closer to towns and stations ~~etc~~

mm78 Blackmore has flood issues already, we can't have 2 large fields removed. With more extreme weather predicted this risk of flooding will worsen. The suggestion of digging 2 ponds on the site as a solution is unworkable as at times of heavy rain the water table is at ground surface level. Also closing 2 large fields to houses means loss of very precious wildlife habitat that currently contains hedgehogs, voles, foxes, and badgers.

mm81 I do not believe that the exceptional circumstances test was carried out with any conviction. If it had been the brown field sites in Red Rose Lane, Blackmore & at Standon Masey would have been identified and included in the LDP

mm107 / 108 Houses were reduced from 70 to 50 by BBC due to high level of concerns by the majority of Blackmore residents. For the inspector to just increase back to 70 ignores the point of asking for public response to the LDP.

This proposal would destroy our village community and our way of life, that is so precious and irreplaceable. The proposal is abhorrent and absolutely not in the best interests of our very special community.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary