

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

SANET DABORN

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78
81 107 + 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

Pg 5
paragraphs
2.6 2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Inclusion of R25 & R26 sites are not sound as this is contrary to the Government's aims & BBC objectives to reduce pollution & reduce car usage. As the village has limited public transport, no access to a station and largely serviced by rural roads without any pedestrian footpaths the developments will inevitably generate more cars and traffic in the village. Virtually

MM5 all amenities and services can only be accessed by road (only a small shop in the village, no employment opportunities, small school already over subscribed). There is no public areas for parking and as Bladonmore attracts a large number of visitors parking and congestion is already an issue in the village and very often large local traffic (i.e. tractors, service vehicles can't ~~access~~ ~~pass~~ pass some of the main routes through the village

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Sites R25 + R26 are unsuitable for development and do not have any exceptional circumstances which support their removal from protection of Green Belt

They should never have been included in the LDP & should be removed and more suitable Green Belt locations within the borough identified and used.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary