

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	ANN EUSTACE
-----------	-------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 28, 51 107/108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	2-6, 2-8-1 para 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

NO

Sound?

YES

NO

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

Not justified

Not effective

Not consistent with national planning policy

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore does not fit the guidelines of either BBC of the government it is a remote village with poor road connections, distant from towns/railway stations, bus service is unsuitable for commuting. Car travel is essential to get anywhere, so more houses more cars/pollution/congestion. Which is contrary to BBC & the government aims for reducing unnecessary journeys.

MM5

The village should be classified as a class 4 village, not class 3 such as Kelvedon Hatch, class 3 villages are meant to have a parade of shops, be closer to towns with good transport links, Blackmore has none of these

MM78

Flooding has always been an issue with Blackmore & removing 2 large fields will just make the situation worse. With more extreme weather situation will only worsen.

MM81

Blackmore residents identified alternate non green belt sites, ie brown field sites in Red Rose Lane Blackmore & at Stondon Massey therefore had to believe that BBC could not also have located them & included in LDP.

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore does not fit the guidelines of either BBC of the government it is a remote village with poor road connections, distant from towns/railway stations, bus service is unsuitable for commuting. Car travel is essential to get anywhere, so more houses more cars/pollution/congestion. Which is contrary to BBC & the government aims for reducing unnecessary journeys.

MM5

The village should be classified as a class 4 village, not class 3 such as Kelvedon Hatch, class 3 villages are meant to have a parade of shops, be closer to towns with good transport links, Blackmore has none of these

MM78

Flooding has always been an issue with Blackmore & removing 2 large fields will just make the situation worse. With more extreme weather situation will only worsen.

MM81

Blackmore residents identified alternate non green belt sites, ie brown field sites in Red Rose Lane Blackmore & at Stondon Massey therefore had to believe that BBC could not also have located them & included in LDP.