

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

| | |
|-----------|--------------|
| Full Name | CLAIRE GRANT |
|-----------|--------------|

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

| | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Schedule of Potential Main Modifications | MM no. | 1,2,5,78, 81,107,108 |
| Sustainability Appraisal | para(s) | Pg 5 PARAGRAPHS 2.6 2.8.1 |
| Habitat Regulations Assessment | para(s) | |
| Policies Map or other supporting documents | Please specify | annex 2 |

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

NO

Sound?

YES

NO

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

Not justified

Not effective

Not consistent with national planning policy

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

1. Justification of the release of 2 green
MM belt land sites R25 and R26 for
81 housing development.

2. Impact of drainage, flooding and
MM sewage due to the proposed
78 development.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM81

I believe the removal of these sites is not justified.

MM78

The existing flood risk and added impact of development on green belt land sites L25 and L26 and the effect this will have on the village

MM5.
The village should be reclassified ~~as a~~

~~class 3~~ to correctly reflect the amenities the village can offer.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary