

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	Edna May Williams
-----------	-------------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	<input type="text" value="MM2"/> <input type="text" value="MM5"/> <input type="text" value="MM19"/> <input type="text" value="MM27"/> <input type="text" value="MM51"/> <input type="text" value="MM74"/> <input type="text" value="MM78"/> <input type="text" value="MM107/8"/>
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	<input type="text"/>

Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	<input type="text"/>
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	<input type="text"/>

Question 2: Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document :		
Legally Compliant?	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>
		NO
		<input type="checkbox"/>
Sound?	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>
		NO
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Question 3: If you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):	
Not positively prepared	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Not justified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Not effective



Not consistent with national planning policy



Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM2

'Brownfield opportunities to be encouraged' has been deleted. This goes against recent government policy to promote brownfield development.

MM5

Blackmore shown in Hierarchy 3 although 'a small rural village' with no local centre (one shop!).

MM19

All developments should have SuDS....in order to reduce surface water

MM27

Mitigate traffic impacts. By adding 70 houses to a small rural village with minor roads and lanes this is not possible

MM51

Non designated Heritage Assets..can include lanes.. a strong requirement for their retention. Redrose Lane has a history of being used during the plague of the 1300's and is of historic significance will be completely changed with the development of R25 and 26

MM74

Protect and enhance the local environment. 4 hectares of existing green belt, open space and hedgerows to be removed on R25 and 26.

MM78

Flood Risk. No assessment of specific local risk. Climate change suggests that inland water levels will rise causing greater damage. This needs assessing

MM107

R25 an increase from 30 to 40 plots. What justification?

MM108

R26 an increase from 20 to 30 plots. What justification?

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM2

Encourage brownfield development rather than green belt

MM5

Move Blackmore to Hierarchy 4

MM19

Development of R25 and 26 removes existing SuDS increasing the risk of flooding. Leave fields as they are protecting the village from flooding

MM27

Erect a manageable number of new houses that will mitigate any impact on local roads and services

MM51

Reduce the size of the development and hence the impact on Redrose Lane

MM74

Retain these fields or at least some of the existing natural features

MM78

Specific assessment of flood risk to Redrose Lane and follow on impact to village centre if R25 and 26 are developed

MM107

R25 reduce to an acceptable number.

MM108

R26 reduce to an acceptable number

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary