

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	LEE CAWARD
-----------	------------

Question 1: Please indicate which consultation document this representation relates to?

The Local Plan

Sustainability Appraisal

Habitat Regulations Assessment

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

R25 - LAND NORTH OF WOOLARD WAY	Section 8 (Natural Environment)
R26 - LAND NORTH OF ORCHARD PIECE	policy NE06 8.5 - 8.64
Section 04 (managing growth)	para 8.85(iv)
policy SPO1 - D(a) and D(f)	" 8.90
Policy SPO2 - Para 4.9, 4.2	" 8.101
	" NEL3

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Sound?

YES

NO

Legally Compliant?

YES

NO

Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?

YES

NO

Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasons below (please tick all that apply):

The Local Plan has not been positively prepared

The Local Plan is not justified

The Local Plan is not effective

The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

- BBC have not demonstrated there are other brownfield sites available.
- There are more suitable and sustainable locations i.e. The Urban Extension to Brentwood. The Blackmore locations do not promote sustainable development considering the limited available facilities.
- BBC have not published a clear "strategy" for the villages in the North of the Borough including Blackmore.
- NO 'Housing Needs Survey' has been done to show why Blackmore is included in the LDP.
- BBC have failed to demonstrate that the required housing could not be met by increasing the housing density on other Brownfield or Allocated sites.
- There are other Brownfield sites available which should take priority over Greenfield and Greenbelt land which BBC are aware of.
- BBC have failed to consider the impact on Blackmore of the proposed 20 dwellings off Fingrith Hall Lane, with BBC failing to consult Epping Forest District Council.
- Blackmore is an isolated village and road access has not been designed to meet the number of cars without mentioning the heavy vehicles required to build the number of houses in the LDP.
- With heavy snow which we have seen very recently the village is cut off for days with roads that are impassable.
- All proposed sites in Blackmore will be liable to flooding therefore building on this land will no doubt increase this ~~liability~~ liability which ~~can~~ could result in the village potentially flooding which would greatly impact the current residents.
- INADEQUATE SERVICES AVAILABLE - There is only 1 GP surgery covering 5 villages which are all being impacted by the LDP. Bus facilities are by no means adequate at the current time without the extra residents in proposed houses.
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The local schools are running at full capacity currently so the "extra children" would have to be schooled outside of the village thus creating more traffic and pollution.

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.

- Remove and drastically reduce the number of proposed houses in Blackmore to a maximum of 5.
- The infrastructure would have to be greatly improved with roads into the village being improved.
- School places would need to be found without having to drive to another part of Brentwood thus increasing pollution.
- A new GP Surgery would be needed as the only one in the village is under substantial pressure with waiting times for appointments standing at up to 3 weeks.
- Links for the villages via public transport would need to be sufficient for the ageing population.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EiP)?

NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP

YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP

Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

Please note that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral part of the Examination.