

Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

January 2019

COMMENT FORM

From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at: www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March 2019.

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to **planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk** or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY.

How to complete the representation form:

This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A.

The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as 'soundness'), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below:

- a) **Soundness:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council's website under Evidence Base.
- b) **Duty to Cooperate**: Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live

Breintwood Pre-Submission Losal Plain (Regulation 19)

January 2019.

COMMENT FORM

From Tradition(US Federated): Tradier 18 March 2019 which controlling on the model mage of the fermion of the Shan Park Stranger (Countration 1911) and otherway and common metholosismilation defaultation antima at which from twood goy united algors

> Aliminativity, please use this form to stand your views on the survey in or the survey

> > An esperance should be received by Sect Prevent Predacts (0.12

Plasse istum form altres to alterning completed forms for time in planting policy@breatwage.net.uk for internaliticity?p. policy?planting Protect Trend Breatwage Blanning Linguine Town India Breatward Several NT BAY

How to complete that representation for m

This form consistent fact auctions — Sacian A. Person i information, aquisization it: Pro: Representation, Phasia nota that your oper-factorian around be accretical whereal completion information waining to Section r.A.

The could Plan Bro-Scient som (Regalition 18) brown tenests in transformaarean finated questions from the move from "sets of Counters and Archite Uniused "faired coordiant war of the area of sets of Counters and 18 to "g Lead at the doord tenest - is the Port positively remained on the port of the set dog Counted adjuite to the Counter to Counters and the set of the counters and the remained to the counter of the set of the set of the set of the counters and the set of the Counter of the firm of the set of the firm of the firm of the set of the set of the set of the counters and the set of the firm of the firm of the set of the set of the set of the counters and the set of the set of the firm of the firm of the set of the firm of the firm of the set of the firm of the set of the firm of the set of t

- Surgedwings: I care depicting that interview must previous i local. Plan is not been an interview of the company of the com
 - Verify the Conspiration inter-clutical line instruminent of the constructive to the first of the constructive to the cons

document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of State.

c) Legally Compliant: Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) Positively prepared providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development
- b) **Justified** an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) Effective deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy** enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

decomment and will be updated provided by the provided to the lifet restrictly of State

i) Legitly Campliant: Local Planaing Automation must arrange a local Plan when universe to the reductive set of a set out in the Noticest Planmag Policy Paraway (MPPP), plupping property with down at event alaming respirators & transferration

Doustion 4.21 the comment is running when a characterized procession consist of the Plane sectometers. Anotiviting to the Process Plancing Policy (Stomowork Plancing court 35. Local Plane are required to accuracy whether they have been polycarrow is secondating with regimeters are added to get metha and shotter they are added Place are sourced they are added.

- a) Positivally preparate constitute a challent spect, as a manufacture scale to receiptive finance and a set of the formed by ago, a mean with a set of the formed by ago, a mean with a set of the set of t
 - Instituted militaring failed and a light for a second s second sec second sec
- c) Effective naive absolute over the plant panet. And leave an effective joint weeking on example over the plantage matter a training to the train with site at the defetted, as externation at the training training and and and
 - d) Consistent with instrumpt realizes or solving including of singlermetric developments or across test say with the periods to use 1/4474

Eteme deservations and the triburnation provided at the Etemption with an early contributing your electronic term. For eachigend interfacient or each the difference is a between at 5 galaxies. At with its pointies, as a cost Plan activity in the activity is F-QCs, whereas we construct your breast woods pay with receptare.

Turn Protection

Ad associated with and any first production of the organization of a physical of the Linear Prate generalization (Prince), and for any of science and first he first here and reading to be first associated and the second distribution for the formation of the first science of the part of science of the science of the first science of the first science of the formation of the science of the sc

וה קבורת להיו דרכי אין היה היא היא היא היא אלי היא היא אלי אין אין אווי אין אין אין אווין א

Section A: Personal Detail	S
Title	Mr
First Name	Mark Adam
Last Name	Holmes
Job Title	
(if applicable)	
Organisation	
(if applicable)	
Address	
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	Mark Adam Holmes

Question 1: Please indicate which consultation document this representation relates to?	
The Local Plan	x
Sustainability Appraisal	
Habitat Regulations Assessment	

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

Section 09 site allocation Policy R25 Woolard Way Site Policy R26 Orchard Piece Site Section 04 Policy SP01/SP02 Section 08 Policy NE06 flood risk Para 8.85/8.9/8.101 Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

UNSOUND

Blackmore is unique and we should protect the character of it. We owe it to future generations.

Brownfield sites should be chosen before green belt

The flooding of a few years ago has improved, development would cause more problems

Blackmore school would be unable to cope with this amount of development.

Waiting times for appointments to see local doctors would be prolonged

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan	is:	
Sound?	YES	NOX
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO
Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES	NO

Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasons below (please tick all that apply):		
The Local Plan has not been positively prepared	x	
The Local Plan is not justified	x	
The Local Plan is not effective	x	
The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy		

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary
Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EiP)?
NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP x
YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP

Г

Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.

To remove Blackmore from this list of proposed sites