

- b?,

Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

January 2019

COMMENT FORM

From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at: **www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan**

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March 2019.

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to **planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk** or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY.

How to complete the representation form:

This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A.

The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as 'soundness'), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below:

- a) **Soundness:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council's website under Evidence Base.
- b) **Duty to Cooperate**: Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live

Brenwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

COMMENT FORM

Promi i versity 90 Promotypic Torestov 1919 cour 2010 per ender som britag og 4a er so rengts virtige i septement i octal Promi Pro-Sutrensorumi grun Plant (Peterindjur 1970) den virte timet somment og 166 controllerend fost-rendi torensorumi viervis sentity ere i gers pir fostering

Attendatively tensor user of a state of state with association watching at the

Marine - sur lane et e benering remering remering a second and a second a planting pointy, the strike of grantality is allowed a by past lot is a second the part of the second and the s The second second strike is a second second from the second second second second second second second second se

ammi Astituttés trigier Billings- môg ait en

Constraints and the second s Second secon document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of State.

c) Legally Compliant: Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) Positively prepared providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development
- b) **Justified** an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) Effective deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy** enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Data Protection

. 13

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

Boolinemi and will insurplement prior to relarge dubing that in the leveratory of Sector

A Legally 5 or pillents from Fault and Authoritics must be trained without Plant which address to the magain standards and out to the Matteria Plant of a large Fromework MIPPE, Manning proving contracts, and structure of a set date when y at the standards.

Uteria including to the set for App in you will be reading to the App in you will be a set of the App in the A

- a) Specifically in quarter from long & statistic y would also a minimum summer in a second statistic as the second statis

- - Considers with surfaceal policy making the convert of secondaria
 Invited and the hole of the second second response in the NPIS.

•• A super barryola generation artyon when privilated artures in control with a second state of a s

Autority Alathin

الم المستحدة المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب المسلومات المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب الم المركب التركيب المركب المرك ومسلوم المركب المركب

Title	Mr
First Name	Luke
Last Name	Holmes
Job Title	
(if applicable)	
Organisation	
(if applicable)	
Address	
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	

н то у.

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	Luke Adam Holmes	
		5

Question 1: Please indicate which consultation document this representation relates to?		
The Local Plan	x	
Sustainability Appraisal		
Habitat Regulations Assessment		

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

Section 09 site allocation Policy R25 Woolard Way Site Policy R26 Orchard Piece Site Section 04 Policy SP01/SP02 Section 08 Policy NE06 flood risk Para 8.85/8.9/8.101

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Pla	n is:	
Sound?	YES	NOX
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO
Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES	ΝΟ

91. A

Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasons below (please tick all that apply):		
The Local Plan has not been positively prepared	x	
The Local Plan is not justified	x	
The Local Plan is not effective	x	
The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy		

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

UNSOUND

Brownfield sites should be chosen before green belt

The flooding of a few years ago has improved , development would cause more problems

Blackmore school would be unable to cope with this amount of development.

Waiting times for appointments to see local doctors would be prolonged

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary
Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EiP)?
NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP
YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP

Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.

To remove Blackmore from this list of proposed sites