

Section A: Personal Details	
Title	Mrs
First Name	WENDY
Last Name	WASHINGTON
Job Title (if applicable)	Not applicable
Organisation (if applicable)	Not applicable
Address	
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	

BRENTWOOD B.C.
15 MAR 2019
Received

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

UNSOUND

- Concerned that no accurate transport assessment has been made - & so evidence is flawed.
- Data was taken during a school holiday & so does not account for majority of school traffic
→ Brentwood School & Hodgekott School.
- The majority of traffic during peak hours that makes it impossible to access or leave our property on Fresh Lane disappears when Brentwood School is closed for holidays - yet the Transport Assessment did not account for pupils too & from this school.
- The Transport Assessment does not account for any impact of CrossRail or other housing built.
- No assessment has been carried out of the Bishops Walk as a potential access route. The cul-de-sac & access has been designed & built with transport to only 5-6 houses in mind. Currently people park on pavements & bump up pavements to pass. The dustmen maintain they have to bring all rubbish to outside our house as their vehicles can't turn!

Duty to Cooperate

The technical submissions of residents have not been addressed.

- Submissions have been made regarding the safety issues surrounding the potential access routes & that they do not conform to Essex Highways requirements & they have not been addressed.

- continued.

Question 5 - continued.

- The land has been removed from previous plans as it is protected open space. So what's changed?
- Priest Lane is a lane without pavements in many places. It was never meant to be a main distributing road. It does not comply with Essex design guide.

NPPF Compliant.

Local plans should also consider traffic concerns, healthcare & education needs.

- The plan does not include any planning for traffic concern.
- There is no provision for increased primary education need.
- No thought for health provision - we already wait weeks to see our GP.

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.

My major concern is the impact such a site will have on Priest Lane. If this site could be accessed - over the railway to Orchard Avenue & so traffic accesses A128 ~~at~~ ^{at an already existing mini roundabout} would have less impact. or could some negotiations occur with the Endeavour School - to allow access via Hugath Avenue - again this would reduce heavy traffic mixing with Brentwood School Traffic, & crossroad etc. It wouldn't be a 'cut through' & traffic could leave Brentwood → A127 without increasing congestion at Middleton Hall Lane.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EP)?

NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EIP



YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EIP



Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

Please note that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral part of the Examination.