



Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

January 2019

COMMENT FORM

From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at: www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March 2019.

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY.

How to complete the representation form:

This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A.

The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as 'soundness'), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below:

- a) **Soundness:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council's website under Evidence Base.
- b) **Duty to Cooperate:** Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of State.
- c) **Legally Compliant:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) **Positively prepared** – providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet

the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development

- b) **Justified** – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) **Effective** – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy** – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

Section A: Personal Details	
Title	MRS
First Name	MICHAEL
Last Name	MORGAN
Job Title (if applicable)	N/A
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Address	

Post Cod [REDACTED]

Telephone Numb [REDACTED]

Email Address [REDACTED]

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

Question 1: Please indicate which consultation document this representation relates to?

The Local Plan ☒

Sustainability Appraisal ☐

Habitat Regulations Assessment ☐

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

120 pages additional touring (wounded with organic + temporary old stone piece) within Blackmore Village (1200 base unit)

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EIP)?

NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EIP ☒

YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EIP ☐

Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

Please note that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral part of the Examination.

- * OTHER MORE SUITABLE SITES SUCH AS EXTENSION TO BRENTWOOD - THE ADDITIONAL HOUSES IN BURNHAMORE WOULD NOT PROVIDE FOR ADDITIONAL SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.
- * BROWNFIELD SITES SURROUND THE PROPERTY ARE GREENBELT.
- * NO HOUSING NEEDS SURVEY UNDERTAKEN, BURNHAMORE WAS PREVIOUSLY RULED OUT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR LARGE SCALE DEVELOPMENT
- * RED LANE WOULD NOT BE SUITABLE TO HEAVY TRAFFIC
- * UNLIKELIHOOD OF FLOODING - VILLAGE IS ALREADY PROVEN TO FLOODING.
- * PLANNING'S 2016 LDP WAS ABOUT QUALITY OF LIFE + MINIMISE IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT ON FARM - THIS WAS REVOKED IN 2018 REVISION OF THE PLAN, THERE IS NOW A FOCUS ON ENVIRONMENT WHICH THESE HOUSES WOULD NOT PROVIDE IN THE LONG TERM AS VILLAGE ISOLATED.
- * THE PLAN DOES NOT HAVE A FAIR DISTRIBUTION ACROSS ALL AREAS.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.

SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN PLANNED HOUSES - ON BROWNFIELD LAND.

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Sound?	YES	NO
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO
Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES	NO

Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasons below (please tick all that apply):

The Local Plan has not been positively prepared ☒

The Local Plan is not justified ☒

The Local Plan is not effective ☐

The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy ☐

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

- * NEIGHBOURLY AUTHORITIES HAVE NOT BEEN ADEQUATELY CONSULTED - THERE ARE ALREADY ADDITIONAL HOUSES BEING BUILT AT THE TOP OF RINGWORTH OF HALL ONE.
- * BURNHAMORE DOES NOT TAKE THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO MEET THE NEEDS OF 30% MORE RESIDENTS. DOCTORS ALREADY VERY FULL. SCHOOL AT CAPACITY.
- * HEAVY SAFETY IMPACT OF ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC IN VILLAGE. NO STREET LIGHTS AND OTHER NO PARKING.