



# Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

January 2019

## COMMENT FORM

From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at:  
**[www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan)**

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March 2019.

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to **[planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk)** or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY.

### **How to complete the representation form:**

This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A.

The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as ‘soundness’), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below:

- a) **Soundness:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council’s website under Evidence Base.
- b) **Duty to Cooperate:** Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live

document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of State.

- c) **Legally Compliant:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) **Positively prepared** – providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development
- b) **Justified** – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) **Effective** – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy** – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line [www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan)

#### **Data Protection**

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

**Section A: Personal Details**

Title	Mr
First Name	Mike
Last Name	Taylor
Job Title (if applicable)	Director
Organisation (if applicable)	On behalf of LaSalle Land Limited Partnership
Address	c/o Chilmark Consulting Ltd. Cambridge House Henry Street Bath
Post Code	BA1 1BT
Telephone Number	
Email Address	planning@chilmarkconsulting.co.uk

## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	LaSalle Land Limited Partnership
-----------	----------------------------------

Question 1: Please indicate which consultation document this representation relates to?

The Local Plan

Sustainability Appraisal

Habitat Regulations Assessment

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

Section 4, Policy SP06: Effective Delivery of Development

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Sound?

YES

NO

Legally Compliant?

YES

NO

Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?

YES

NO

Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasons below (please tick all that apply):

The Local Plan has not been positively prepared

The Local Plan is not justified

The Local Plan is not effective

The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

Please see the attached.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please see the attached.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EiP)?

NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP

YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP

Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

The matters and issues raised by this representation are fundamental to the Local Plan Inspector's consideration of the Brentwood Borough Local Plan and our client, LaSalle Land Limited Partnership, wishes to ensure that their views and position are fully heard and taken into proper consideration.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

Please note that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral part of the Examination.

# Brentwood Borough Local Plan

## Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

### Representations for and on LaSalle Land Limited Partnership

#### Policy SP06: Effective Delivery of Development

March 2019

---

#### Introduction

1. Chilmark Consulting Ltd. (CCL) are instructed by and write for and on behalf of LaSalle Land Limited Partnership (LLLLP) with respect to the Brentwood Borough Local Plan: Pre-Submission Plan (BBLP) published for consultation by Brentwood Borough Council (BBC) in January 2019.
2. This representation is concerned with Policy SP06: Effective Delivery of Development; particularly Point A and Point B.
3. This representation must be read in conjunction with the other representations submitted by LLLP dealing with related matters.

#### Nature of Representation

4. Points A and B of Policy SP06: Effective Delivery of Development are concerned with securing the timely and efficient delivery of strategic sites and areas of change.
5. The policy indicates a raft of additional tasks and steps for larger sites including strategic and site-area masterplans, collaborative and partnership working to derive scheme proposals.
6. While LLLP support the need for collaborative working with stakeholders, it is concerned that the requirements set out in SP06 are overly onerous and unjustified. It is not clear why the additional steps and documents are necessary and how they would lead to more efficient or timely development delivery.

7. The planning application and decision-taking process as laid out in the NPPF includes opportunities for extensive stakeholder and consultee engagement as well as pre-application review and evolution of development proposals of all scales. This allows for a coherent and effective approach to site development to be undertaken already and therefore already provides the mechanisms to achieve this in the way that paragraph 4.45 of the Local Plan envisages.

### Conclusions

8. LLLP conclude that Point A and Point B of Policy SP06 are not:
  - *Justified* – for the reasons identified in this representation, the approach is not justified. The planning system already includes adequate opportunities and mechanisms for early engagement, partnership and stakeholder work and collaborative planning. There is no need for the additional measures proposed in Policy SP06 at Points A or B and it is unclear as to which size/scale of allocated sites the policy may be applied;
  - *Effective* – the measures set out in SP06 will not necessarily lead to more effective planning or decision-taking. Some of the steps may serve to slow or restrict the ability to bring development sites forwards in a timely fashion. There is a need to balance the collaborative and participatory approach envisaged in SP06 with the timely determination of development proposals for larger sites.

### Modifications Required

9. Policy SP06 should be modified by deletion of Point A entirely. Point B should be revised to include flexibility for the provision of supporting documentation on a site-by-site basis in accordance with the relevant planning application validation list.

Chilmark Consulting Ltd.

T

E: [planning@chilmarkconsulting.co.uk](mailto:planning@chilmarkconsulting.co.uk)  
[www.chilmarkconsulting.co.uk](http://www.chilmarkconsulting.co.uk)