

Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

January 2019

COMMENT FORM

From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at: www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March 2019.

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to **planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk** or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY.

How to complete the representation form:

This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A.

The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as 'soundness'), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below:

- a) Soundness: Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council's website under Evidence Base.
- b) Duty to Cooperate: Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of State.

c) **Legally Compliant:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) Positively prepared providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development
- b) **Justified** an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) **Effective** deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy –** enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

Section A: Personal Details				
Title	Mr			
First Name	Nicholas			
Last Name	Pryor			
Job Title (if applicable)	Planning Consultant – Senior Partner			
Organisation (if applicable)	The JTS Partnership LLP on behalf of Martin McColl			
Address				
Post Code				
Telephone Number				
Email Address				

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

_				
Full Name	Nicholas Pryor			
Question 1: Please indicate which consultation document this representation relates to?				
The Local Plan		√		
Sustainability Appraisal				
Habitat Regulations Assessment				

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

This representation is in relation to Policy PC03 and Employment Land Allocations and the Proposals Map in relation to the Green Belt.

McColls Headquarters Site, Ongar Road, has been identified as Site 321 as an existing employment site in the Green Belt.

It is all previously developed land and reference is made to the Site Area as 1.6 hectares.

As a site in the Green Belt, it should rank along other sites that have been brought forward existing employment sites not previously allocated and new land allocations.

The Emerging Plan has identified such sites as being released from the Green Belt.

Our key concern is the fact that no Proposals Map yet exists. It is assumed that as all these sites make an important contribution to the strategy for delivering economic growth, that the previously developed land of McColls will be shown as an employment site excluded from the Green Belt.

It is a site that is adjacent to the primary road network coming into Brentwood and has availability to public transport facilities, including bus services. It sits opposite a Primary School and adjoins other built form.

The Green Belt Study which should underpin and inform all potential Green Belt releases has not yet been completed, with working drafts only currently being available in respect of Part 1 & 2 Assessments.

The subject site is intensively developed with over 5,000 sqm of floor space. The more modern office buildings are now over 60 years old and in need of substantial refurbishment or redevelopment. There is the opportunity to provide new development and make better use of the previously developed land.

Until such time as the Proposals Map is released and it is clarified as to how this site is treated, we would maintain an objection.

The site has within it a number of non-designated heritage assets which would benefit from some form of residential development and the site provides an opportunity for some mixed use.

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan is:				
YES	NO /			
YES	NO /			
	YES			

Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES	NO
Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unbelow (please tick all that apply):	sound, please indicate	your reasons
The Local Plan has not been positively prepa	ured	
The Local Plan is not justified	J	
The Local Plan is not effective		
The Local Plan is not consistent with nationa	I planning policy	

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

The failure of the Local Authority to produce an updated Proposals Map means that the Plan is not sound. The lack of completion of the Green Belt Evidence Base means that the subject site has not been properly assessed.

Also, in accordance with the February 2019 NPPF, there should be revisions to the Green Belt Policy, Criteria A g Limited Infilling addition to add the words –

"Not cause substantial harm to the openness of the green belt where the development would re-use previously developed land and contribute to meeting an identified affordable housing need within the area of the Local Planning Authority".

Diagon continue on a concrete cheet if recognize			
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary			
Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it			
necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EiP)?			
NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP			
YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP			
Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.			
It is an important employment site to be properly considered and best addressed in oral evidence.			
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.			
Please not that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral part of the Examination.			