

Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

January 2019

COMMENT FORM

From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at:www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March2019.

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to **planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk**or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY.

How to complete the representation form:

This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A.

The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as 'soundness'), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below:

- a) Soundness: Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council's website under Evidence Base.
- b) **Duty to Cooperate**: Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of

State.

c) Legally Compliant: Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) Positively prepared providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development
- b) Justified an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) **Effective** deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy –** enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

Section A: Personal Details	
Title	Mrs
First Name	Carol
Last Name	Minter
Job Title (if applicable)	
Organisation (if applicable)	
Address	
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	

Section B: Your Representation		
Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.		
Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.		
Full Name	Carol Minter	
Question 1:Pleato?	se indicate which consultation document	this representation relates
The Local Plan		X
Sustainability Appraisal		
Habitat Regulations Assessment		

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

<u>RO2 – Point 3.3 Drainage</u> – West Horndon currently suffers from surface water flooding. A further 580 homes, together with all the extra infrastructure required to accommodate this volume of people, will exacerbate this problem. The Tillingham Hall development in the 1990s was abandoned, in part, due to this problem.

Point 9.91 Main vehicular access via Station Road. Station Road is already unable to cope with the current volume of traffic. It is a country lane with a sharp double bend where the road crosses the railway line. This part of the road is a major hazard with frequent accidents. 580 new properties will result in a minimum of 580 cars exiting onto what is already a major danger spot. Station Road is also used as a "rat run" when the M25, A127 and A13 are closed, running slowly, or congested. 9.93 & 9.94 The Council are living in Never Never Land if they think people will use alternative forms of transport to the car people will use cars! West Horndon station is a 2 platform station which barely copes (in the rush hour) with the sudden impact of hundreds of people descending on such a tiny space. There is very little parking space and nowhere to allocate further spaces. (Also see below comment regarding Dunton Garden Suburb inhabitants using West Horndon Station) RO1 Point 8.2 Potential Dedicated Bus Routes My previous comment applies ... West Horndon station is a 2 platform station which barely copes (in the rush hour) with the sudden impact of hundreds of people descending on such a tiny space. 8.4 Improvements at West Horndon Station etc My previous comments apply regarding parking, nowhere for extra infrastructure to accommodate bus interchange or bicycle storage.

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan is:		
Sound?	YES	NO x
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO
Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES	NO

Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasonsbelow (please tick all that apply):	
The Local Plan has not been positively prepared	х

The Local Plan is not justified The Local Plan is not effective	x
The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy	
Question 5: Please provide details of either:	
 Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, Duty to Cooperate; or Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not le fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate 	
I believe the plan to be unsound and not thought out thoroughly with comme full of "ideas" that have not been sensibly thought through. It's everyones' is world, it could be like.	
There is no space at West Horndon station to accommodate more passenger nowhere to park, provide cycle storage or allow interchange for buses. Static totally congested with traffic joining from the proposed site for the new hom buses coming in from Dunton Garden Suburb would exacerbate the problem	on Road will become es. The addition of

This part of the plan is unrealistic and unworkable.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary
IQuestion 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EiP)?
NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP
YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP

Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.
Please not that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate
procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral
part of the Examination.