

Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

January 2019

COMMENT FORM

From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at: www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March 2019.

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to **planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk** or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY.

How to complete the representation form:

This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A.

The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as 'soundness'), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below:

- a) **Soundness:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council's website under Evidence Base.
- b) Duty to Cooperate: Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of

State.

c) **Legally Compliant:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) Positively prepared providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development
- b) Justified an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) **Effective** deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy –** enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

Section A: Personal Details		
Title	Mr	
First Name	Richard	
Last Name	Swift	
Job Title (if applicable)		
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
Email Address		

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	Mr Richard J. Swift	
Question 1: Pleato?	ase indicate which consultation document th	nis representation relates
The Local Plan		$\sqrt{}$
Sustainability Appraisal		$\sqrt{}$
Habitat Regulati	ons Assessment	$\sqrt{}$

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).

POLICY R26: LAND NORTH OF ORCHARD PIECE

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan is:				
Sound?	YES √	NO		
Legally Compliant?	YES √	NO		
Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES √	NO		
Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasons below (please tick all that apply):				
The Local Plan has not been positively prepared	pared			
The Local Plan is not justified				
The Local Plan is not effective				
The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy				

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

I agree that the Pre-submission Local Plan is sound and the Council has taken time to review evidence and consider public opinion.

In particular I support Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore to release site Ref: 076 from the Green Belt to provide new homes for first time buyers, for local residents and for those wishing to downsize without leaving Blackmore. The village will lose its vitality and potentially current services if it doesn't continue to thrive.

I moved to Redrose Farm in 1958 and thanks to my grandfather selling agricultural land that enabled the development of Orchard Piece, I was able to live in one of these new houses and remain close to my grandparents. Since there has been no new development in Blackmore for almost 50 years, I imagine many local people would want to be able to move to a new modern home and remain close to their roots.

The site North of Orchard Piece has good natural barriers and is only a short walk to the centre of the village. Redrose Lane represents the edge of the settlement.

I begun my career while resident in Blackmore and it is the resting place of my grandparents and uncle. I really believe that the release of this small site (1.52 hectares) would provide housing for at least 40, two-three bedroomed homes (the amended policy of 30 houses is not in line with national policy for a plot of this size). These numbers would add to the viability of services and new demand would lead to the improvement of transport links and facilities, which local residents acknowledge could be improved. These homes would be sustainably built and provide desirable places to live so I see this as a positive addition to the village.

This land has belonged to my family since 1956 and we have never experienced surface water flooding in this time. I understand that a development in this site will actually improve the water management in this area.

Over the years we had many developers approach us concerning our land. We thought long and hard about which developer we would trust to deliver a development that the village would be proud of. Locally based, Crest Nicholson has won national awards for the standard of its housing design and landscaping and has the expertise and capacity to deliver housing which is lacking in the local area.

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.
You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.
N/A
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EiP)?		
NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP		
YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EiP		
Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.		
N/A		
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.		
Please not that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral part of the Examination.		