

Brentwood Draft Local Plan Preferred Site Allocations

January 2018

COMMENT FORM



From 29 January to 12 March 2018 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Preferred Site Allocations. You can view and comment on the consultation document online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document.

All responses should be received by Monday 12 March 2018

Please return forms to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed forms and email them to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to these conditions.

PERSONAL DETAILS					
Title: Mrs	First Name:	Frances	Last Name:	Skeels	
Address:					
Post Code:					
Email Address:					
Email / Iddicss.					

YOUR COMMENTS				
	Preferred Site Allocations document that you are clearly state the section/heading or paragraph number):			
Please specify if you Support, Object or are providing a General Comment: (tick as appropriate)				
Support				
Object				
General Comment	X			
Comments (please use additional sheet if required):				
obviously due to the proximity of Crossrail none of which will be "affordable". My own afford one. Like most people, I do not thin	oort this amount of development in our town. Shenfield, , seems to be recommended for a lot of new builds — n children, now in their thirties, certainly wont be able to k the roads, schools and doctors can support this amount and pedestrian links has been going on for years with no			
the land between Brentwood and Shenfiel unsuitable due to it including green belt la	Reasonable Alternative is horrific. Suddenly it seems that d, even though mentioned in the original plan, as being nd, a country park, animal sanctuary, Local Wildlife Sites, to be "a reasonable alternative"! Knowing that some of developer makes me very uneasy.			
I believe that the green belt and our countriour towns. BrentWOOD and ShenFIELD.	ryside should be protected. The clue is in the names of			