***************************************	Internal use only	
	Comment No.	
***************************************	Ack. date	



## **Brentwood Borough Local Plan**

# **Strategic Growth Options Consultation**

January 2015

### Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

#### **Personal Details**



#### **Questions**

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

<b>?</b>	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆
	Comments		
	Comments		
			1
	O2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these grees?	Yes M	No 🗆
ار ا	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?	165 🗀	110 🗀
	Comments		-
			J
			<del></del>
2	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆
	he anoth the second of the second of	3 14	,
	Comments west Horndon   Dunton seems		
	To the state of th		
	a Hrachue		
	LOUTTWIF JUA TUNEM JAMANIA;	4 - 1	
		d / * iv i	-
	-2888 44 SIG 548 61	M.)	
2	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of t	he ,	
( •	sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?		
	Comments		
	As above		
			v at
		- A	,

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes □ the edge of urban areas?	No M
	More importantly the current infrastructure- roads, schools, hospitals, aprilable practices chant cope, and I see little mention of how this will be addressed	
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?	
	Del t) HAS to be a high pronty for any required development	
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	No 🗆
	Comments We need to keep "industrial" traffic away from residential areas	
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically Yes sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?	No 🗆
	Comments yes - we need to ensure Town  Centres are (rejuitanced Otherwise they use  Gutinne to more toward more foodlal (6h 6) outlets  becoming virtual "no go" areas at night. Conned  could as ur - high rates have driven our normal retailer	-

?	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes □	No 🗹
---	---	-------	------

Comments	Ţ	doubr -	Shenfield 15	busyo

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	(3)	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	. 1	2	3	(4)	5
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	(3)	4
Farmland	1	(2)	3	4
Woodland	1	(2)	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	_3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider?

Yes W No □

Comments Everyone feels strongly about maintaining theen beir land. And ensuing development severely is appropriate and can be supported by the infrastructure. You have not explained how the infrastructure and he developed.

Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments There is a major feeling. Development on the scale proposed will need major inventment on infrastructure. The current hospital situation is nothing short of disgraph in Brentwood - me have to go to Queen or Broomfield. We are bidly soved.

Schools are four. GP practices (Dented closed to new patients. Parking a nightmane, Buser poor. Road surfaces (pot hores a disgrace. The Plan from the address there soncerns. And from 18 address the fact that residents do not want to live is a faceless subwham lands care, with a diminishing green belt, and boarded up shops is our thigh streets.

# Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

