The second secon	
nternal use only	ng sang ang kangka ang ka
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details



Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes No A A A A A A A A A B A A A
	Comments A) North of borough - do not agree we need to allow ullapses to grow! whole purpose of I wing in a village is for it to remain a village and not be exponded and create even more problems with roads, drawage, Provision of energy resources, litter and social problems. B) Agree but would definitely have to include better road system and access. Traffic flow a problem. C) Agree, but again road problems would have to be dealt with Also ferland and environment to be taken who consideration.
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes No
	Comments A) North of Borough - comment 2.14 aprec Comment 2.15 do not aprec B) Broadly aprec C) 1
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes \(\Bar{\text{No}} \) No \(\Bar{\text{D}} \)
	Comments 1 believe option c - the W. Hondon proposal should be the preferred ophon. Attempt to 'grow' or develop villages causes pockers of infrastructure problems to overcome, social, education, roads, services ak, and destrys bullage I fo & Impacts on the greenbelt wildlife. Keeping one major development to one area would impact only on that area (unfortunably).
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
	Cross-boundary development at Dunton

Yes ☑ No ☑

	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes ☑ No ☐ the edge of urban areas?
	Comments I have answered Yes, but obviously the AIZ Is already a road way beyond capacity. The use of brownfield sites in preference to greenfield - but makes sense to allow building near to access for major roads. Trying to get access for increased numbers of people further out in villages would be expansive it disruptive.
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\mathbb{E}\) the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments
	Green belt definitely not, brownfield yes- Providing wildlife & ecological issues are dealt with correctly - brownfield siles also provide I ving areas for wildlife. however. Detelect/empty housing to be used first.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed sensibly a with easy access to award traffic problems / bottle recks. Yet apair environmental impact
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed sensibly a with easy access to award traffic problems / bottle recks. Yet apair environmental impact
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed sensibly a with easy access to award traffic problems / bottle recks. Yet apair environmental impact
	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed sensibly a with easy access to award traffic problems / bottle recks. Yet apair environmental impact
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed Sensibly a with easy access to avoid happy problems bottle necks. Yet apair environmental impact of paranount impartance. Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? Comments
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed sensibly a with easy access to award traffic problems I bottle needs. Yet apair environmental impact of paramount impartance. Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? Comments We need to ensure the strategic highway needs to should be successed to businesses to be successed to the the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to should be successed to should be should be successed to should be successed to should be s
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed sensibly a with easy access to award traffic problems I bottle needs. Yet apair environmental impact of paramount impartance. Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? Comments We need to ensure the strategic highway needs to should be successed to businesses to be successed to the the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to the strategic highway needs to should be successed to should be successed to should be should be successed to should be successed to should be s
?	most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments Developed Sensibly a with easy access to avoid happy problems bottle necks. Yet apair environmental impact of paranount impartance. Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? Comments

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes □	No □
7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

Comments
We are (thank goodness) buther an open space
environment - which is the reason we mound here.
and would not need more open space provision
- we just need this protocked from development! It is judicious to consider developing smaller villages
It is ludicious to consider developing smaller villages
Plus destruction of the environment & wildlife
Plus destruction of the environment & wildlife.

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	(5)
Other - please specify: Ofen/uncrowded Space	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4) 4
Farmland	1	2	3	(4)
Woodland	1	2	(3)	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	(2)	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	4
Other - please specify: wildlife predominant	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes 🗆 No 🗅 important issues to consider?

Comments

Social impach (especially on smaller villages), the around of waste litter produced by more households a businesses. Consideration to those who choose not to live in a confined area or on a housing estate and use of already empty presuses (horesing. Maintenance of rodd's (highways

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments Roads & transport. If people cour easily access routes to work - you are in damper of creating domators of a coursing where work cannot be accossed - creating social problems. Howers need to be where there is employment an autable - or how else are people to pay for them?

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)