

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

Joan Westover.

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78, 81
107, 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

Pg. 5 and
paragraph 26+28.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- ✓ Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore has not a good bus service in and out of the village. Car travel is essential. Also poor, or Non-existent, railway stations unless one has a car. More houses means more pollution/congestion. Losing two fields is loss of habitat for animals as well as hedgerows for birds.

MM5

Blackmore is a small village unlike Doddington. Therefore should be classified as a Class 4 village NOT Class 3 as per Doddington.

MM78

Blackmore is classified as "A critical Drainage Area" Having lived in Blackmore since 1971 there have been many floods when there is heavy rain with cars unable to enter and exit the village. My garage too has been flooded. St. Lawrence Church was badly flooded a few years ago.

MM81

What are the "exceptional circumstances" that says R25 and R26 should be released from Green Belt? I oppose that vehemently. We have ancient hedge rows that should be preserved.

MM107/108

The number of houses were reduced from 70 to 50, I oppose even 50 houses. Blackmore is a 'village' people come to spend time in the country villages to get away from towns. It would not be classified as a village if we are going to start building more and more houses near them!!

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Reference MM116 "Sustainable means of Travel and Walkable Streets"

With 70 houses in Blackmore our little village would not be sustainable because of the number of cars. 70 houses = 2 cars to 1 household equates to 140 or more cars. I do not qualify that as "sustainable".

Pg 45-46 MM193

Blackmore is in a critical drainage area. The sewage is inadequate for our current village let alone 70 more houses. The sewage pump in Inglesstone Rd discharges raw sewage into the Mead frequently when overloaded, polluting the River Widd.

The bridge over the 2 ponds, as one enters, the village is in a very weak state at the moment with heavy building vehicles and many more cars the bridge will be weakened drastically.

Many people cycle or drive to Blackmore to get away from towns. They sit on our village green, take tea in the T Rooms. With 70 houses etc. we will lose the village feel and end up as another larger town losing the attraction that people come here for. As well as spoiling the countryside around us. We MUST retain our British villages for all the reasons outlined above and on the previous pages.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary