

Consultation on Potential Main Modifications to the Local Plan 2016-33

September 2021

REPRESENTATION FORM

This form should be used to make representations on the Main Modifications to the Brentwood Local Plan 2016-2033 submission version as contained within the Schedule of Potential Main Modifications and accompanying updated Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment.

The Schedule of Potential Main Modifications and all required supporting documents can be accessed via the Local Plan website at http://www.brentwood.gov.uk/local-plan-examination

Please note this form has two sections:

Section A – Personal information Section B – Your representation

Please ensure you complete **both** parts of the form.

Where possible, we would prefer responses are provided using our Local Plan online consultation portal. This is the quickest and easiest way to make representations. To respond in this way, please follow this link: <u>https://brentwood.oc2.uk/</u>

Comments will be considered by the independent Planning Inspectors undertaking the examination.

All responses must be received by 5pm Thursday 11 November 2021

Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk or alternatively by post to MM Consultation 2021, Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

Guidance Note on Legal Compliance

The Inspectors have assessed whether the Plan meets the legal requirements under section 20(5) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended (PCPA), which includes whether the Local Planning Authority has complied with the Duty to Cooperate (section 33 of the PCPA) when preparing the Plan, before moving on to test the Plan for soundness.

In relation to this consultation, comments regarding legal compliance should only be submitted where they relate to the potential Main Modifications.

Guidance Note on Soundness

Local Plans are required to be assessed against the tests of soundness. If you are objecting to a potential Main Modification, Question 3 of the representation form asks you to identify which of the below tests of soundness you consider the modification fails to address (soundness is explained in National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF 2021) paragraph 35).

Positively prepared - The Plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.

Justified - The Plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence.

Effective - The Plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities.

Consistent with national policy - The Plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

The preparation of the Local Plan has had regard to all policies in the NPPF. However, insofar as your comments relate to the Main Modifications, you may take the view that the Local Plan:

- Fails to address a requirement of the NPPF; in this case you should explain what else it needs to include. Please note that the Local Plan does not need to repeat national policies; or
- b) Departs from national planning policies without good local reasons. In this case, please explain why.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form.

Section A: Personal Details		
Title	Ms	
First Name	Rachel	
Last Name	Clements	
Job Title (if applicable)	Associate Director	
Organisation (if applicable)	Lichfields on behalf of CEG Land Promotions Limited (CEG)	
Address	The Minster Building 21 Mincing Lane London	
Post Code	EC3R 7AG	
Telephone Number		
Email Address		

Do you wish to be notified when the Brentwood Local Plan 2016-2033 is adopted by the Council?	YES	
---	-----	--

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

Rachel Clements

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	84
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	

Question 2: Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document:			
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO	
Sound?	YES	NO	

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared	
Not justified	
Not effective	\square
Not consistent with national planning policy	

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

These representations are made on behalf of CEG. CEG is the principal promoter of the proposed development at Dunton Hills Garden Village; for which an Outline application was recently submitted on behalf of CEG and the landowner.v

MM84 relates to changes to Policy R01(I). CEG support the amendments as these make the policy clearer and more effective; specifically, the proposed quantum and sizes of the various types of uses that will be accommodated at Dunton Hills Garden Village. This includes the potential for a co-located primary and secondary school on a 7.9ha site. However, amendments are still required to ensure the policy is effective (NPPF, Paragraph 35) and clearer.

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

(Proposed amendments in red)

"4. Development proposals shall deliver an appropriate variety of housing typologies types and tenures in accordance with the Borough's identified needs and the specific needs of Dunton Hills Garden Village. They shall include the provision of:

a. self-build and custom build plots in accordance with Policy HP01;"

• Please also see our comments on HP01 (MM35).

"c. affordable housing in general accordance with Policy HP05;"

• To enable greater flexibility in the deliverability of the development across the plan period.

"d. the provision of a minimum of 5 serviced Gypsy and Traveller pitches, the location of the pitches and the timing of their provision to be identified in the masterplan

• For clarity.

"5. Development proposals shall deliver around 5.5 hectares of employment development distributed across the village that may include office, light industrial and research and development uses coming within use class E and other employment development (including Class B8 development) that is complementary to, and compatible with, the residential development that is complementary to, and compatible with, the residential development."

• For clarity.

"7. Development proposals shall make provision for: ...

d. An site for one additional stand-alone early years and childcare nursery (around 0.13 hectares)."

• Amendment for clarity to allow flexibility. The current wording 'a site for' implies that land will be given for a nursery. However, the amended wording secures the delivery of a facility (either private or public).

"8. Not less than 50% of the total allocated area shall comprise green and blue infrastructure [ADD FOOTNOTE] which should, so far as possible, be of a multi-functional nature."

FOOTNOTE: As defined by the TCPA: "Green infrastructure is not simply an alternative description for conventional open space. It includes parks, open spaces, playing fields, woodlands – and also street trees, allotments, private gardens, green roofs and walls, sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) and soils. It includes rivers, streams, canals and other water bodies, sometimes called 'blue infrastructure'."

 Amendment for clarity and to ensure the policy is effective and can appropriately be measured. The TPCA definition can be found at: <u>https://www.tcpa.org.uk/green-infrastructure-definition</u>

Mobility Hub

"9. Development proposals shall make provision for a sustainable transport mobility hub that should relate well to the district centre."

• Amendment for clarity and consistency in wording with the relevant sub-heading.