

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	PATRICIA DILLON
-----------	-----------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1,2,5,78,81,106,107,
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	2-6, 2-8-1 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	—
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2.

The 70 additional houses proposed means more car journeys for work, schools, shops etc., this will be contrary to aims + ambitions of Local + Central Govt. to cut emissions, congestion and pollution. Village has a limited bus service which stops at 6pm. Local wildlife habitat will also be lost at a time when experts are saying they should be preserved.

MM5

Blackmore incorrectly classified as a class 3 village, which typically has more facilities shops, links to main town + stations.

MM78

Flooding has been an ongoing issue, with more extreme weather predicted in future flooding will be inevitable.

MM81

Exceptional circumstance test would have resulted in Brownfield sites being included in LDP.

MM107/108

Public response which resulted in the reduction of houses to 50 appears to be ignored/overlooked.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1/MM2

Decision to select 70 dwellings for Blackmore should be reviewed as it does not meet strategic BBC tests. Popular belief is that profitability has been the main driver.

MM5

Review and amend classification of Blackmore village to class 4 not 3.

MM78

Environment agency needs to be heavily involved sadly it is clear where they haven't been involved mistakes have been made.

MM81

Exceptional circumstance test needs to be carried out to show evidence that would allow greenbelt land to be released.

MM107/108

A huge number of objections to this development from local people has been discounted. All objections should be reconsidered.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary