

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

GILLEAN DRIVER

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 8.1, 107/108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	2-6, 2.8.1 para 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annexe 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore has poor bus service, poor road links & only way to get to towns/stations/hospitals is by car. BBC & government are supposed to be reducing unnecessary car journeys. So why build at Blackmore.

MM5

Blackmore cannot be aligned with Mountnessing etc for bigger villages with shops & better road connections.

MM78

Blackmore still continues to have flood issues & sewage is still being pumped into water system. Removing 2 large green fields will make this worse.

MM81

No evidence that exceptional circumstances test was ever carried out by BBC as it does not meet their strategic aims.

MM107 & 108

Houses were reduced by BBC from 70 to 50 due to high level of concerns by majority of residents, for the inspector to increase back to 70 ignores the point of asking for public response

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM11/MM12

Blackmore does not meet any of the strategic targets/objectives of BBC so needs to be reviewed as to why this was selected.

MM5

Blackmore should not be aligned with villages such as Muntnessing etc. This needs to be reviewed & amended.

MM78

This issue has been ignored in the LDP, needs to be reviewed & Environment Agency asked for their recommendations

MM81

There is no evidence that exceptional circumstances test was carried out correctly by BBC to release Green Belt land for development. Needs to be reviewed.

mm102/108

Houses were reduced from 70 to 50 by BBC, only to be increased back to 70 by inspector this needs to be reviewed.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary