

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

DONNA EATON

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 78, 81, 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	PAGE 5 + PARAGRAPHS 2.6 + 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

mm1/mm2.

To Live in Blackmae, you need a car/van to travel as the bus service is not very adequate.

We already have a lot of traffic through the village, and the roads are generally narrow, also parking is becoming a problem in the village. especially around the shop area, tea rooms etc.

mm5

Blackmae only has one shop, tea room, + Pub and cannot be considered on the same level as Duddinghurst, + Kelvedon Hatch, which is a very much larger area.

Therefore it should be classed as 3 and not a 4..

mm78

Flooding has been an issue within the village and still continues to be. Even in June we have had floods.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

mm81

I feel that more brownfield sites should have been investigated.

mm 107/108

Development density was reduced from 70 to 5. This is now back at 70, ignoring the point of requesting public response to the LDP.

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

mm
5

Blackmore Village needs correct
classification eg CLASS ~~4~~ 4 ~~NOT 4~~. 3

mm
81

A robust and vigorous analysis of
Suitable 'brown field' sites in
the area should be conducted.

mm
78

A full investigation and survey of
existing and potential flood
risk should be carried out reflecting
accurately the potential impact
of the development being proposed.

The current proposal should
be removed from the LDP
as it is not justified.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary