## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	CERI	<b>HSHER</b>		
	Certi	NOHEK		

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1,2,5,78
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	

Question 2: Do you consider this	Main Modification and/or suppo	rting document:
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO 🔲
Sound?	YES	NO 🖳

Question 3: If you consider the <b>Main Modification and</b> unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s that apply):	
Not positively prepared	
Not justified	
Not effective	
Not consistent with national planning policy	

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

 Why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or

 Why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MMI /MMZ Blackwere has been selected for 70 new duellings and The reason for trus is very callear. The melaje is small and roads first lanes in the area of the planned development. We have already hard houses but in this area accenter Redrose lane and - Norton Heath Village is really not able to support new nomes MM81 green beet land be Why has an area of Surely there are other areas of that could be uhused insteadhas been guen for two deusion MM107+108 After huge local objection from residents The number of houses dids reduced from 70 to 50 (Two is shee looking) this deusion revenesed - why have me nguts of local people completely ignered

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

- D Why was Blackmone chosen for 70 new developments - The faithes Schools (roads in trus area are already shretched muthout trus development. - BBC - must explain Their reasons
- 2) BBC need to make clear why green Beet land has been chosen for trus development- when There well be other areas of Brown Field site available to use.
- DWhy-after agreeing to reduce The number of 70 houses to 50 houses has this deusion been completely agreed. A large proportion of the local residents have made their objections to this development known and -Do the nguts of local people not matter.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary