

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	Melanie Sanders
-----------	-----------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 78, 81, 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	Page 5 and para 2.6 & 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore village is very remote. It is a long way from towns and railway stations, the bus service is almost non-existent and the lanes are too narrow and dangerous to walk. It is unsuitable for commuting and the car is the only way to travel if you live in Blackmore. More houses would therefore result in more vehicles, congestion and pollution (70 houses would result in approximately 140 more vehicles in the village). This goes against BBC's objectives and the Government's aim for reducing unnecessary car journeys.

The loss of 2 large green belt fields to houses would result in the loss of homes for foxes, hedgehogs, voles and badgers, amongst others.

MM5

Blackmore is not a class 3 village like Doddinghurst, it should be recognised as a class 4 village. Blackmore has 1 tearoom, 1 small shop and a part time hair salon so cannot be classified the same as larger villages such as Kelvedon Hatch and Doddinghurst who have a parade of shops, a lot more houses, are closer to towns and stations, and have far better and safer road links.

MM78

Blackmore has always had a problem with flooding, and concreting over 2 large fields will just compound the situation. The idea of digging 2 ponds on each field is pointless; when there is heavy rain the water table is at ground surface level. With extreme weather becoming more frequent, the flooding situation will only get worse.

MM81

I do not think the Exceptional Circumstances Test was carried out with any thoroughness. If it had been it would have identified, and included in the LDP, the brown field sites in Stondon Massey and Red Road Lane, Blackmore.

MM107/108

Due to the exceptionally high level of concerns by most of Blackmore's residents, BBC reduced the number of houses to be built from 70 to 50. For the inspector to increase the number back to 70 begs the question why ask the public for a response to the LDP if you are then going to ignore that response?

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary