

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

Mr Scott Gosling

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78, 81
107, 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

Page 5, para:
2.6 & 2.8.1.

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

annex 2.

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

mm1/mm2 - Car travel is essential if you live in Blackmore as it is a little village that is mainly accessed via country lanes. more houses will bring more cars/congestion and pollution to our little village - The proposal will see an increase in c20% of our village population. This increase will put pressure on our village amenities (shop, tea room), school and the local doctors (which is already at breaking point) ~~set~~ Blackmore should not be aligned to larger local villages of Dodinghurst/mountnessing (mms) and should be classified as a class 4 village.

mm78 - Blackmore has known flooding issues - the proposed developments will only exacerbate the issues - I also have grave concerns about the suggestion of access to the proposed orchard piece/redrose lane field via Orchard piece. Currently orchard piece is a quite cul-de-sac where children play - if this was allowed to become an access route it would make a dangerous for children due to the increased traffic and destroy our current peace and quiet.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

The 2 Blackmore Sites Should be taken out of the local plan and alternatives found.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary