

## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

JUDITH BOWLAND

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 78; 81, 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	PAGE 5 AND PARAGRAPHS 2.6 & 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2  
Being a small village on the edge of Brentwood, Blackmore is too far away from main line stations to be suitable for commuters into the main cities. There are few buses and a car is essential. 70 more houses means at least 140 more cars adding to traffic jams & pollution.

MM5.  
We have only 1 small co-op/post office & narrow lanes cause access problems.

MM 78  
If the 2 fields suggested are covered in concrete where will the rain drain to except into the central green resulting in extra flooding. 2 extra ponds will not solve this problem or we would have already done so.

MM 81  
Exceptional circumstances test was not carried out properly or brown field sites would have been suggested instead.

MM107/108. Putting the dwellings back to 70 means  
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary we have been ignored.



Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Access via Orchard Piece has not been considered seriously. We already have 48 houses and most have 2 cars some 3 or 4. They are often parked on both sides of the road. If you increase the access problem by 60% what do you expect will happen. Access from Red Rose Lane is necessary especially for the builders vehicles and delivery lorries.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary