

Question 7: If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination in Public (EIP)?	
NO, I do not wish to participate in the oral part of the EIP	☐
YES, I wish to participate in the oral part of the EIP	☒

Question 8: If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
Flood risk

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary. Please note that the Inspector (not the Council) will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral part of the Examination.
--

 Brentwood Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) January 2019 COMMENT FORM	From Tuesday 05 February to Tuesday 19 March 2019 we are consulting on the next stage of the Brentwood Local Plan: Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). You can view and comment on the consultation document online at: www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the document. All responses should be received by 5PM Tuesday 19 March 2019. Please return forms either by attaching completed forms by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk or alternatively by post to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY. How to complete the representation form: This form consists of two sections – Section A: Personal Information, and Section B: Your Representation. Please note that your representation cannot be accepted without completing information identified in Section A. The Local Plan Pre-Submission (Regulation 19) consultation consists of more formal and technical questions focused on the four Tests of Soundness and whether the Local Plan is compliant with relevant legislation. Comments are to be focused on three core areas – is the Plan positively prepared (referred to as 'soundness'), does the Council adhere to the Duty to Cooperate, and is the Plan legally compliant (addressed by question 3 of this comment form). These terms are defined below: a) Soundness: Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan based on relevant and appropriate evidence base. They are required to publish these documents on their website. The evidence used to develop the Brentwood Local Plan can be found on the Council's website under Evidence Base. b) Duty to Cooperate: Throughout the plan-making process discussions have taken place with various statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. A summary of these meetings can be found within the Duty to Cooperate Statement, published as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. This is a live
--	---

document and will be updated prior to being submitted to the Secretary of State.

- c) **Legally Compliant:** Local Planning Authorities must prepare a Local Plan which adheres to the requirements as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), planning practice guidance, and other relevant planning regulations & legislation.

Question 4 of this comment form asks for further information on your opinion of the Plans 'soundness'. According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 35, Local Plans are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are:

- a) **Positively prepared** – providing a strategy which as a minimum seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development
- b) **Justified** – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- c) **Effective** – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- d) **Consistent with national policy** – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

Please keep in mind the information provided above to assist with correctly completing your comment form. For additional information on what the difference is between a Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, please view the FAQ's published on-line www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form, you are agreeing to the above conditions.

Question 6: Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. Please be as accurate as possible.

Buckmore Village Heritage Association will be producing a Local Needs Plan in co-operation with the local Parish Council.
Other Villages are reported to desire more houses to make them viable.
Infrastructure – huge improvements needed – see Q5?

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the Plan to be sound, legally compliant, or adheres to the Duty to Cooperate; or
- Why you consider that the Local Plan is unsound, is not legally compliant, or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate

Historic Village of Blackmore is a lively village community already growing naturally.

Brentwood B.C. planning advice advocates only developing villages where there is a need to make them viable, the historic character will not be impaired and road connections are easy.

None of this is true for Blackmore.

Moreover, there has been no clear strategy for the Village north of Brentwood, nor has there been any assessment of the needs of Blackmore.

At the open consultation meeting in early 2018 with B C Planners it was evident that they know little about Blackmore's situation or about developments of houses in Spring Forest D.C. which are really part of Blackmore although over the border! (Fing. Wall Lane 32+; Woodmanges Ln. 8) On about the 312 tunnel into off the Chelmsford Rd.

Infrastructure issues - see appended sheet

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Section A: Personal Details

Title	DR.
First Name	
Last Name	JENNINGS
Job Title (if applicable)	
Organisation (if applicable)	
Address	
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	

Section B: Your Representation Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted. Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.	
Full Name	STUART [REDACTED] JENNINGS

Question 1: Please indicate which consultation document this representation relates to?	
The Local Plan	☒
Sustainability Appraisal	☒
Habitat Regulations Assessment	☐

Question 2: Please indicate which section of the indicated document identified above that you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the section / heading or paragraph number).	
SECTION 09 POLICY R25 WOOLLARD WAY and POLICY R26 ORCHARD PIECE	

Question 3: Do you consider the Local Plan is:	
Sound?	YES ☐ NO ☒
Legally Compliant?	YES ☐ NO ☒
Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES ☐ NO ☒

Question 4: If you consider the Local Plan unsound, please indicate your reasons below (please tick all that apply):	
The Local Plan has not been positively prepared	☒
The Local Plan is not justified	☒
The Local Plan is not effective	☐
The Local Plan is not consistent with national planning policy	☒

Blackmore Infrastructure

1. **Roads** – 5 lanes connect village to outside world – narrow and windy in parts, subject to flooding in several places, badly congested at rush hours. *Cut off by river. several times*
2. **Surface Water Drainage** – already poor – roads often flooded, with stalled cars – bad flood Aug. 1987 when houses flooded around The Green, the south side of the Blackmore Road and the bottom half of Church Street including the historic Grade 1 St Laurence Church. There has been no change to the water-ways since then – we had a “near miss” in June 2016.
Climate change predicted to increase extremes of weather!
The Run Off of surface water from any further development will increase the risk of homes being flooded for existing residents.
3. **Sewage** – sewage pump off Ingatestone Road at the limit of coping quite often – overflows raw sewage into The Moat and thence into the River Wid fairly often. Back-up in 2016 was cause of one or two properties flooding.
4. **Electricity Supply** – prone to short power cuts specially in windy weather – throws timers/computers out frequently.
5. **School Crowded** – even now some village residents cannot get their children in!
6. **GP Services** – local GP surgery cannot recruit enough doctors so waiting times long.
7. **Parking in Village Centre and at Village Hall** – dire!
8. **Road Connections** – windy narrow country lanes prone to flooding.
9. **Epping Forest Developments** – will add to strain on some of these items.

Stuart Jennings, March 2019.

