


Draft Local Plan 2013 - 2033 February 2016

COMMENT FORM



From 10 February to 23 March 2016 we are consulting on the Draft Local Plan for Brentwood Borough. You can view and comment on the Draft Local Plan online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Draft Plan.

All responses should be received by Wednesday 23 March 2016

Please return forms to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed forms and email them to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Data Protection

All personal information that you provide will be used solely for the purpose of the Local Plan consultation. Please note whilst all addresses will be treated as confidential, comments will not be confidential. Each comment and the name of the person who made the comment will be featured on the Council's website.

By submitting this form you are agreeing to these conditions.

| PERSONAL DETAILS | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|--|
| Title: | MR | First Name: | KEVIN | Last Name: CRASKÉ | |
| Address | 3 : | | | | |
| Post Co | de: | | | | |
| Email A | ddress: | | | | |

| Please indicate which section(s) of the Draft Local Plan you are commenting on (where applicable please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number): PLEASE SEE ATACHED. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Please specify if you Support, Object (tick as appropriate) | ct or are providing a General Comment: | | | | |
| Support | | | | | |
| Object | | | | | |
| General Comment | | | | | |
| Comments (please use additional she | eet if required): | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Thank you for taking the time to respond. Please return forms to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed forms and email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

15th March 2016. Sir/Madam Please find attached my comments on the Brentwood Draft Local Plan. As you can bee from my address I am a reside of west thorndon which is an area which will be severely impacted by the level of development proposed in and adjacent to the Village. The current plan is for an additional socihonses on the 2 industrial sites in the village There are approximately 800 rendencies in the Village so this will virtually double the SIZE of the village with no additional infrastructus to support the new howing. There is no mention of any additional shops, any additions fob opportunities, any additional schooling; in fact nothing except housing. All the Council appears to be concerned with is the solving of the housing deficit. There needs to be a sensible, balanced and well thought out plan to support additional housing of this magnitude in the village. We already have issues with drainage, powing and road porthole repairs. In addition

we have problems with lack of policing, only one Doctor, and cars speeding through the village No improvements to any of these concerns is being mosted and based on past expenence. Wes Horndon is forgotten about whenever possible

As I have pointed out in pressons letters to the Council, West Horndon is located in a dip between higher ground in all directions. In 2012 we spent Christmas Day baling out house which were flooded after heavy rainfall.

Responsibility for keeping flood ditches clear has now been passed off to residents. No help was offered by the Council who now plan 500 more houses on the offerted area. It does not appear very sensible, what are the Council plans to prevent water diverted and increasing flood risks?

The Railway Station at West Horndon is already at capacity from the vehicle parking and commuter footfall with no seats on most trains. Network Rail have no plans to upgrade the facilities or the services so as additional 1000 or more people can only make matter significantly worse.

There is no Police presence in west Horndon we used to see an Officer for 12 day a week but that ended two years ago. An additional 500 houses should mean consideration must be given to improved Police presence.

No mention of this was made during the Council drap-in sessions on the Draft Local Plan

Schooling is another important part of the requir supporting infrastructure. With an additional SDE houses in the village it will require extra resources for the village primary/gumor school to accomodate the extra children from these houses. Secondary School transportation is also a major usue with additional buses being required so that the children can get to they schools. Of course after school clubs and classe will also require consideration. After all that is why we pay community changes to the Countil. In a nutshell there does not appear to be any thought given to the infrastructure support necessary to support the additions house in the Draft Plan for West Horndon. It Just seems to be a number game to meet the Council housing targets. With regard to the Braft Local Plan which details development of the Dunton Hills Garden In all the previous Neighbourhood Consultations Brentwood Borough remobnts have stated that their provities were, -1) Protecting the Green Belt. 2) Protecting Local Character 3) Herongate Residents identified a housing need. 4) Spatial Option One was for centralised growth around the Brentwood Town Centre 5) Spatial option Two was for growth along the A12 Transport Cornerson There was no mention of large development rear the Dunton are a at all.

The Dunton Hills Garden Village is proposed 2 be built entirely on Green Belt land miles away from Brentwood Town or Herongate. The land itself is prone to flooding in parts and will make travel for more difficult in the area. The A128 is single clane road already congested in rush hour and will not cope with additional vehicular traffic. West Horndon and Laindon vailway stations are similarly fully congested and 'additional people from '2500 houses cannot be accomodated. It is a singularly silly misconception to believe That they can in their current condition, As the council is fully aware the A12 corridor is set to be improved to Motorway standars by the Highways Dopt, Cross rail is being built in Shanfield and Brentwood so there will be vastly improved traffic flows in the North of the Borough but the housing will all be in the South with unadequate Toursport The single lane AMS will be the only Commection between the north and south of the Borough and it will be completely inadequates The green belt in the south of the Borough is the most pressurised of the Netropolitan Green Belt. It was set up to prevent the urban: Sprawl of London creeping to the coast. The development of Dunton Hells Village is actually assisting the urban sprawl as it will link up to Laindon Hills in basildon. This is not meeting the reeds of Reviolents or what the Green Belt was designed to do

The Council and the Planning Dept are required to carry out the wishes of Residents and plans for Dunton Hills Village plainly do not fit with Residents wishes as expressed in previous consultations. Borough employees must bear this in mind - that is their gob. There are very limited plans for development in the north of the Borough along the A12 corridoor. There is no explanation why this is the case. Is the Green Belt a higher priority there? From a wild-life and site of natural beauty there is nothing to suggest any reason for this. It seems almost immune to any possible development and that is stronge as the south of the Borough seems to offer more in this respect. In short Dunton Hills Toll Garden Village seams to be an unwanted undeserable development on pressurised Metropolitan Green Belt with totally inadequate transport links Loqual development would be on the A12 cornidor in the North of the Borough closer to good transporm links including Cross rail and the upgraded A12. I look forward to recovery your comments in reply to my points of concerns vaised in this letter. Your's Surcerely